Porous polyethylene implant for cranioplasty and skull base reconstruction
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Object. Cranial reconstruction after skull base surgery is important for restoration of function and cosmesis. The authors describe their experience with the Medpor porous polyethylene implant for cosmetic cranioplasty and reconstruction after skull base surgery.

Methods. Medpor, a biocompatible implant, is flexible and can be contoured to facilitate surgical reconstruction of small to medium (<8 cm) convexity or cranial base defects resulting from a variety of skull base approaches. This method provides similar cosmetic results to standard alloplast cranioplasty while decreasing operating time. The porous nature of the material allows ingrowth of soft tissue and bone to increase implant strength and decrease the risk of infection. This material can also be used safely in reconstruction of the cranial and skull base adjacent to the paranasal sinuses.

Conclusions. The authors have used the Medpor porous polyethylene implant in 611 standard cranial and skull base procedures and have achieved excellent cosmetic results and no implant-related complications.
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Reconstruction of cranial base and craniofacial defects is an important goal after skull base surgery. Although biocompatibility characteristics are optimal in autogenous grafts, the risk of donor site morbidity, difficulty with graft contouring, and prolonged operating time limit their use. The desire for immediate functional and aesthetic reconstruction after skull base surgery has led to increased use of alloplastic materials, including silicone, porous hydroxyapatite, titanium mesh, and methyl methacrylate.

The Medpor porous polyethylene Flexblock implant (Porex Surgical, Inc., Newnan, GA) is a biocompatible material that is composed of high-density polyethylene microspheres that are sintered to create a framework of interconnected pores approximately 150 μm in diameter. Its porous character allows for rapid fibrovascular and soft tissue ingrowth and eventual incorporation of bone, which strengthens the implant as well as decreases the risk of infection. The Medpor Flexblock implant is flexible and can easily be contoured to accommodate a variety of skull defects. It has long been used as a standard reference material for biocompatibility testing and has been used in cranioplasty, craniofacial repair, and skull base reconstruction. We have found the Medpor implant to be a useful material for cranioplasty and reconstruction after skull base surgery. We describe our surgical technique in which the Medpor Flexblock implant is used and report our experience with it in 598 patients.

CLINICAL MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patient Population

The surgical records and medical charts of all patients who underwent a vascular, skull base, or epilepsy procedure performed by the senior author (W.T.C.) between January 1996 and December 2003 were reviewed for the use of the Medpor porous polyethylene implants for cranioplasty and skull base reconstruction. A total of 598 patients underwent 611 procedures in which Medpor was used. Thirteen patients underwent bilateral procedures or surgeries via two different approaches, and Medpor was therefore used at separate locations in these patients. The surgical procedure described later was applied only to cranial defects smaller than 8 cm.

Surgical Implant Procedure

The Medpor implant is manufactured in a variety of sheet thicknesses and sizes. This material is available as smooth sheets of various thicknesses or as sheets with conical projections (Flexblock implant) to add bulk if desired (Fig. 1). It may be fashioned with Mayo scissors or a scalpel to cover the cranial defect. An outline of the cra-
nial defect is made on a paper template and then transferred to the surface of the implant to obtain an accurate and aesthetic fit. An allowance is made for molding and edge approximation by cutting the implant slightly larger than the template. Once the desired shape of the implant is obtained, its edges are feathered with a No. 10 blade scalpel to obtain a smooth contour to the surrounding bone, thereby approximating the edge of the implant to the craniotomy edge with no irregularity. Alternatively, a high-speed drill may be used to create a shelf at the edge of the craniotomy to seat the edge of the implant into the surrounding bone. Fixation is performed by placing titanium screws directly through the implant into the bone. With thicker implants that are used to cover larger cranial defects, screws can be placed directly into the implant, which is fastened to the bone edge with titanium miniplates and screws (Fig. 2).

RESULTS

The Medpor porous polyethylene implant was used in 611 procedures, predominantly skull base or vascular surgeries that required cranioplasty or reconstruction of the cranial base. As shown in Table 1, the implant was most commonly used after a craniotomy for aneurysm clip occlusion (59%), followed by resection of skull base tumors (34%). Most of the skull base tumors were meningiomas (70%), followed by vestibular schwannomas (10%). As illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4, Medpor was also used for microvascular decompression (5%) and in epilepsy surgery (2%). The most common skull base procedure used was the frontotemporal approach (perional, transcavernous, or orbitozygomatic) in 458 patients (75%), followed by the retrosigmoid approach in 100 (16%), the subtemporal approach in 42 (7%), and the craniofacial approach in 11 (2%).

The mean follow-up period was 4 years (range 1 month–8 years). There were no postoperative infections and no wound breakdowns. On follow-up review, all patients had satisfactory cosmetic outcomes as judged by the patient and the senior author. No patient required further surgery to correct cosmetic problems caused by their initial operation.

DISCUSSION

Implantable biomaterials play an important role in cranioplasty and reconstruction after skull base surgery. For the surgical closure, well-vascularized tissue should be used for obliteration of dead space and for skull base coverage. Next, attempts should be made to restore function and to optimize the cosmetic result. Although autogenous

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Op</th>
<th>No. of Cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aneurysm</td>
<td>359 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skull base tumor</td>
<td>207 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microvascular decompression</td>
<td>30 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>epilepsy</td>
<td>15 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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grafts are optimally biocompatible, the risks of donor site complications and increased operating time have limited their use.

Methyl methacrylate, although commonly used in combination with titanium or wire mesh, may be associated with potential complications that include local tissue damage caused by the heat released during the exothermic reaction, release of a toxic monomer that has been associated with local and systemic reactions, and a prohibitively high rate of infection when used adjacent to contaminated paranasal sinuses. Titanium mesh is highly inert, nontoxic, nonantigenic, noncarcinogenic, and easily shaped. The tissue biocompatibility of titanium is reflected in the low risk of infection, provided that the surrounding soft tissue is adequate to permit tissue integration.

Nevertheless, titanium produces image artifacts on postoperative CT and magnetic resonance imaging studies. The use of a Medpor porous polyethylene implant is a quick and effective method for immediate cranioplasty and reconstruction after skull base surgery. This biomaterial is readily available in various shapes and sizes, is easily contoured to cover a variety of cranial defects, and is well tolerated by patients. Polyethylene is a highly inert material that exhibits minimal foreign body reaction and has been proven stable over many years of use in humans. It is also radiolucent on CT scans and magnetic resonance images, enabling improved visualization on postoperative neuroimages (Fig. 5).

The porous architecture enables rapid ingrowth of blood vessels and soft tissue within 3 to 4 weeks, promoting wound healing and forming a stable interface that anchors the implant (Fig. 6). This ingrowth of vascularized soft tissue resulted in normal mucosal covering of the implant when Medpor was placed adjacent to the contaminated maxillary sinus in an orbital blow-out fracture model in rabbits. Over longer periods, bone eventually incorporates at the implant–bone interface, providing implant stability. Some investigators have suggested that the vascular ingrowth may protect the implant from infection. In a report by Romano, et al., there were no infectious complications when the Medpor implant was used
in 140 cases of open facial fractures. In our large experience with 611 surgical procedures, there were no postoperative infections or wound breakdowns. All patients achieved a satisfactory cosmetic outcome.

In our series, the pterional approach for aneurysm clip occlusion or tumor resection was the most frequent skull base operation performed. We routinely use the Medpor implant to cover the temporal defect that is created by this approach. The implant is fashioned and contoured to cover the defect and is fixed to the superior edge of the bone defect with two titanium screws. Because the implant is placed deep beneath the temporalis muscle, added bulk is provided under the muscle, which compensates for temporalis muscle atrophy and minimizes the risk of temporal hollowing (Fig. 7). The resultant ingrowth of vascularized host tissue also provides long-term augmentation and stabilization of the temporal defect. A preformed pterional Medpor implant is now commercially available from Porex Surgical, Inc. It has been used routinely by other surgeons after pterional craniotomy and has shown excellent biomechanical properties.14

We have also used the Medpor implant for skull base reconstruction in defects that were exposed to adjacent paranasal sinuses. In most of these cases, the implant was used for orbital or anterior skull base reconstruction after a craniofacial resection of a malignant tumor of the anterior skull base and paranasal sinuses. Because the implant allows rapid ingrowth of vascularized host tissue, there is less risk of an infection.3,7 Eventually, there is complete mucosal overgrowth on the implant, even after postoperative radiation therapy.

For larger cranial defects that may require sharp-contoured reconstructions, the implant may need additional molding, which can be easily accomplished by placing it in a hot sterile saline bath for several minutes. The heat causes the Medpor implant to relax slightly, enabling it to be bent to the desired shape. After cooling, the implant will retain its altered contour. For defects larger than 8 cm, which require increased strength, we recommend customized implants that can be created with the aid of high-resolution, three-dimensionally reconstructed CT scans (Fig. 8).

Fig. 6. Upper: Scanning electron micrograph demonstrating the porous nature of the implant. Original magnification × 23. Lower: Histological section showing ingrowth of fibrovascular host tissue into the Medpor implant after a 3-month period in a human craniofacial application. H & E, original magnification × 75. (Reprinted with permission from Couldwell WT, Chen TC, Weiss MH, et al: J Neurosurg 81:483–486, 1994.)
In this series, the Medpor implant has been used for small and medium cranial defects (< 8 cm) in a variety of skull base approaches. Our experience indicates that the Medpor porous polyethylene implant offers a safe and cosmetically viable option for cranioplasty and reconstruction after skull base surgery. It is faster to perform than methyl methacrylate cranioplasty and, in our experience, has been associated with a lower rate of infection.
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Fig. 8. Upper: Three-dimensional CT reconstruction demonstrating a large cranial defect in the left frontal bone. This reconstructed CT scan was used to design a customized cranial implant. Lower: Intraoperative photograph showing a customized porous polyethylene implant that was created with the aid of the reconstructed CT scan.