

GEOLOGY OF THE SOUTHERN STANSBURY RANGE,
TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH

by

John A. Teichert

A thesis submitted to the faculty of the
University of Utah in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Department of Geology

University of Utah

June, 1958

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	v
ABSTRACT.	vi
INTRODUCTION.	1
Location	1
Climate and Vegetation	1
Previous Work and Exploration.	2
Purpose.	4
Field Work	4
Acknowledgements	5
STRATIGRAPHY.	6
Cambrian System.	7
Tintic Quartzite.	8
Ophir Group	9
Swasey Limestone.	12
Wheeler Formation	13
Marjum Formation.	14
Cole Canyon Dolomite.	15
Upper Cambrian Undifferentiated	16
Ordovician System.	19
Garden City Formation	20
Kanosh Shale.	22
Fish Haven Dolomite	24
Silurian System.	25
Laketown Dolomite	25
Devonian System.	28
Sevy Dolomite	29
Simonson Dolomite	31
Stansbury-Pinyon Peak Formation	32
Mississippian System	36
Madison Limestone	37
Pine Canyon Limestone	39
Humbug Formation.	41
Great Blue Limestone.	43
Manning Canyon Shale.	46

	Page
Pennsylvanian System	48
Oquirrh Formation	49
Quaternary System.	58
Landslide Deposits.	58
Pre-Lake Bonneville Fan Gravels	59
Lake Bonneville Beds.	59
Creep and Glacial Debris.	59
Recent.	60
Sand Dunes	60
Alluvium	60
STRUCTURE	61
General Setting.	61
Stansbury Range.	62
Folds	63
Clover Syncline.	64
Deadman Anticline.	64
Unconformities.	65
Pre-Upper-Ordovician Unconformity.	65
Pre-Mississippian Unconformity	66
Post-Madison Unconformity.	66
Pre-Des Moines Unconformity	67
Faulting (see Orogenic History)	69
Orogenic History.	69
Laramide Orogeny	69
Basin Range Orogeny.	70
ECONOMIC GEOLOGY.	71
Mine Prospect.	71
Sand and Gravel.	71
Timber	71
Watersheds	72
BIBLIOGRAPHY.	78

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figures

		Following Page
Fig. 1	Index Map.	1
Fig. 2	Sedimentary Rocks of the Southern Stansbury Mountains.	6
Fig. 3	Correlation Diagram of Cambrian and Ordovician Rocks in Northcentral Utah	18
Fig. 4	Correlation Diagram of Upper Paleozoic Rocks in Northcentral Utah	35
Fig. 5	West Side of the Stansbury Range as Viewed from Skull Valley.	Page 74
Fig. 6	Lake Bonneville Terraces on the West Flanks of the Stansbury Range	74
Fig. 7	Chert Banding Found in the Lower Beds of the Pine Canyon Limestone.	75
Fig. 8	Pine Canyon Limestone as Exposed Between the Madison Limestone and the Humbug Formation . .	75
Fig. 9	Saddles and Treeless Slope Formed by the Kanosh Shale on the West Side of the Range . .	76
Fig. 10	Tintic quartzite Exposed on the Axis of the Deseret Anticline.	76
Fig. 11	Stansbury Conglomerate as Observed at the South End of the Stansbury Range	77
Fig. 12	Sand Dunes on the West Side of the Stansbury Range.	77

Abstract

The Stansbury Mountains consist of a single north-south trending range located west of Tooele, Utah. Approximately 70 square miles of the southern Stansbury Mountains were mapped and studied for this report. A section of Paleozoic rocks, in excess of 27,000 feet is present. This includes 4,800+ feet of Cambrian (not including several thousand feet of unmeasured Tintic quartzite), 1,600+ feet of Ordovician, 600+ feet of Silurian, 600+ feet of Devonian, 3,400+ feet of Mississippian (not including 1,200+ feet of unmeasured Manning Canyon shale), and 14,000+ feet of Pennsylvanian.

Due to a pre-Mississippian uplift, Ordovician, Silurian, and Devonian rocks are absent in the central part of the range. The basal Mississippian rocks rest unconformably on Cambrian beds. Late Devonian beds, where present, are represented by a coarse conglomerate referred to as the Stansbury conglomerate. This formation which is hundreds of feet thick at the north end of the range, thins to a few tens of feet at the south end.

Precambrian, Mesozoic and Tertiary rocks are not exposed in the area studied. Quaternary deposits include: pre-Lake Bonneville fan gravels, Lake Bonneville beds, creep and glacial deposits, Recent sand dune deposits and alluvium.

Structurally the range consists of a north-south anticline. At the south end following folding, the west limb was removed by Laramide thrusting. Also during the Laramide period, high angle northwest-southeast normal faulting occurred. Later Basin and Range normal type faults roughly parallel the Laramide faults and fold structures.

INTRODUCTION


Location

The area described in this report includes approximately 60 square miles at the southernmost end of the Stansbury Range, and is approximately 10 miles south of Grantsville and 20 miles southwest of Tooele. The Stansbury Range consists of a north-south trending range situated in the eastern part of Tooele County, Utah, in the northeastern part of the Basin and Range Province. The range is 25 miles long and in the area considered in this report is approximately 10 miles wide.

The Stansbury Range is separated from the Onaqui Mountains to the south by a low divide known as Johnson Pass. The area is easily accessible from Utah Highway 58 which traverses the southern periphery through Johnson Pass and connects Rush and Skull Valleys. Utah Highway 36 parallels the range on the east side. Graded and primitive roads extend up several of the canyons from both Rush and Skull Valleys.

Climate and Vegetation

The Stansbury Range displays great variation of temperature and precipitation. No record of precipitation is present for the area, but the average annual precipitation for nearby Tooele is 16.75 inches. Arid to semi-arid conditions prevail in the valley floor and on the low slopes while precipitation at higher elevation is ample for heavy vegetation. Over 5,000 feet of relief is represented from the valley floor to the highest peaks, and snow remains on the high slopes as late as mid-July.



INDEX MAP OF NORTHCENTRAL UTAH SHOWING LOCATION OF SOUTHERN STANSBURY AREA

Fig. 1

The vegetation is as varied as the climate. Species of sagebrush, (Artemisia), are the most prominent plants in the region and are found at all elevations. Various grasses and shrubs intergrowing with the sage are abundant. The Juniper, (Juniperus utahensis), is the most common tree. Aspen, cottonwood, chokecherry, mahogany, douglas fir, white fir and limber pine, as well as various flowering plants, cacti, and grasses also occur. Douglas and white fir of sufficient quality and quantity to support a lumbering operation are found in Dry Canyon, on the west side of the range.

The area supports a limited amount of both stock raising and dry farming, and Clover Creek which flows into Rush Valley at the south end of the Stansbury Range furnishes irrigation and culinary water for the communities of Clover, and St. Johns. Several other small streams furnish sufficient water for irrigation of smaller acreage, not to mention the probable great amount that is removed from the area underground.

Previous Work and Exploration

The Stansbury Mountains and vicinity were explored briefly by the early pioneer geologists. In reports written before 1900 the Onaqui Range south of Johnson Pass, and the Stansbury Range, which lies north of Johnson Pass are referred to as the "Onaqui Mountains" and Johnson Pass is called "Reynold's Pass". Brief mention of the range is made by G. K. Gilbert (1890) and by Howell writing in the report of the Wheeler Survey (Wheeler 1875, pp. 26-27 and 238-239).

King's Fortieth Parallel Report (1877) contains a reconnaissance geologic map (Map 111) of the Stansbury Range, or "Onaqui Mountains". The range is interpreted to be a N-S trending anticline with the abrupt change from east-dipping beds of the Stansbury Mountains to west-dipping in the Onaqui Mountains explained and shown on the map by a sudden change of direction in the axis of the anticline.

The rocks in the vicinity of "Reynolds Pass" are described by King as belonging to the Paleozoic "Wasatch Formation". The report also states that "a body of white quartzite not less than 6,000 feet thick is found on Bonneville Peak" (pp. 456-457).

In 1890, G. K. Gilbert's classic monograph was published which describes the Lake Bonneville embankment in Rush and Skull Valleys, and briefly mentions the fault structure on the west side of the range comparing it with similar displacements in the Oquirrh and Wasatch ranges.

Gilluly (1932) studied the Oquirrh Range in detail, and much of the stratigraphic terminology that he proposed is used in this report. The work of Nolan (1935) in the Gold Hill and more recently the work of R. Cohenour (1957 unpublished Doctoral thesis) on the Sheeprock Range have greatly assisted the author in recognizing and describing the various formations.

Three Masters' theses have been based on nearby areas: Hubert C. Lambert (University of Utah 1941) made a study of the structure and stratigraphy of the southern Stansbury Range, in the vicinity of Deseret Peak and Willow Creek; Dwight E. Arnold (University of Utah 1956) made a study of the geology of the northern Stansbury Range in the vicinity of Flux and Dolomite, and Mack G. Croft (Brigham

Young University 1956) made a study of the geology of the northern Onaqui Mountains, immediately south of Johnson Pass.

Purpose

Primary purpose of this investigation was to obtain a detailed and paleontologically dated stratigraphic section of the area, and to establish correlation with neighboring areas, particularly those investigated by students and faculty of Brigham Young University in the Ophir area of the Oquirrh Range. With completion of work in other neighboring regions new areas of correlation were added. The original area was extended to the north in order to include the pre-Mississippian unconformity of the central part of the Stansbury Range and to obtain a more complete Cambrian and Ordovician section.

Secondary purpose of this investigation was to obtain information pertaining to the structure of the Stansbury Range, and its geologic relationship to the Onaqui Range.

Field Work

Field work was carried on intermittently from June, 1955 through November, 1957. Stratigraphic sections were measured by Brunton-tape traverse. Formation contacts, faults and other pertinent information were plotted on aerial photographs taken in 1940 for the U. S. Forest Service (Scale 1:20,000). A blue-line U. S. G. S. topographic map (Scale 1:48,000) was available and upon enlarging (Scale 1:20,000) served as the base map to which information was transferred directly from the photographs.

Acknowledgements

Special appreciation is given to Dr. William Lee Stokes and Dr. Francis W. Christiansen of the University of Utah for their advice and criticism in the field, and in the preparation of the final manuscript.

An expression of gratitude is also due Dr. Armand J. Eardley, Dr. Leonard B. Sand, and Robert Cohenour for helpful suggestions in preparing this report.

The writer wishes to acknowledge the aid of Dr. Keith Rigby and Harold J. Bissell of the Brigham Young University under whose direction and assistance this project was begun.

Many thanks are due Roy Waite of Shell Oil Company for preparation of thin sections and identification, as well as assistance in the Silurian and Devonian correlation and fossil identification.

The author is also indebted to Christina Lochman Balk, New Mexico School of Mines, Lehi Hintze, Brigham Young University, and Robert Bright, University of Minn. for fossil identification.

Grateful recognition is extended the writers wife, Dorothy, who assisted immeasurably in typing and in the preparation of illustrations.

STRATIGRAPHY

A thick Paleozoic section is present in the southern Stansbury Mountains which contains rocks ranging in age from Early Cambrian through Pennsylvanian. Mississippian beds lie on the Cambrian at the very north extremity of the mapped area, but in the south, all the Paleozoic systems are represented. An accumulation of sedimentary formations in excess of 28,000 feet, consisting of limestone, quartzite, dolomite, sandstone, and shale, were deposited in a marine environment within the miogeosynclinal belt in this region.

The Paleozoic miogeosyncline was bounded on the west by the Manhattan geanticline of central Nevada and on the east by Kay's "Wasatch line", the hinge line between the negative crustal elements and the stable central interior. This represents a part of the Cordilleran geosyncline which was a long, narrow, sinuous, north trending seaway extending from Alaska to and through Mexico. This major negative structural element was well developed at the beginning of Cambrian time, and except for minor oscillations, persisted through the middle of the Mesozoic era. Reid (1954) shows a deeper basin within this negative element in the vicinity of the Stansbury Range which he refers to as the "Oquirrh Basin".

No Mesozoic rocks are present and the Cenozoic is represented only by Quaternary fluvial, lacustrine, aeolian, and morainal deposits.

SEDIMENTARY ROCKS OF THE SOUTHERN STANSBURY MOUNTAINS

System	Series	Formation	Thickness in feet
Quaternary	Recent Pleistocene	alluvium, sand dunes, Lake Bonneville deposits, fan gravels, slump and glacial debris, and landslides. . . .	unmeasured
Pennsylvanian	Virgil to Des Moines Unconformity	Oquirrh formation	13,971.5+
Mississippian	Chester	Manning Canyon shale.	unmeasured
		Great Blue formation.	1,181.5
	Osage-Kinderhook	Humburg formation.	710.0
		Pine Canyon limestone	709.5
	Meramec	Upper Madison limestone	474.5
Lower Madison dolomite.		370.0	
Total Madison.		844.5	
Total measured Mississippian	2,601.0		
Devonian	Upper	Stansbury-Pinyon Peak formation	276.0
	Middle	Simonsen dolomite	229.0
		Sevy dolomite	75.0
Total Devonian	580.0		
Silurian	Niagaran (?)	Laketown dolomite	614.5
Total Silurian	614.5		
Ordovician	Upper	Fish Haven dolomite	248.0
	Middle	Kanosh shale.	162.0
	Lower	Garden City formation	1,201.0
Total Ordovician	1,611.0		
Cambrian	Upper	Upper Cambrian undifferentiated	1,813.4
	Middle	Cole Canyon formation	711.5
		Marjum formation.	298.5
		Wheeler formation	238.0
		Swasey limestone.	144.5
	Lower	Ophir group	647.0
		Tintic quartzite.	unmeasured
Total measured Cambrian.	4,882.70		
Total Paleozoic not including Manning Canyon shale or Tintic quartzite.	24,075.8+		

Fig. 2

The Cambrian System

A relatively complete Cambrian section is present in the southern Stansbury Mountains. The section begins with a thick section of Tintic, or Prospect Mountains quartzite, the base of which is not exposed. Above the uppermost quartzite ledge former of the Tintic quartzite the following sequence is present: (1) a shale zone made up chiefly of green fissile shale with interbedded limestone, siltstone, and sandstone; (2) thin bedded limestones consisting of platy beds one-fourth to two inches in thickness; (3) a zone of dolomite and limestone which varies in thickness from a few inches to several feet.

A thick clastic unit of quartzite appears at the base of the Cambrian throughout the Basin Range Province. This unit which is Pre-Cambrian in Nevada is of Early Cambrian age in Utah, indicating deposition in a slowly transgressing sea. The shale unit above the quartzite is Early Cambrian in Nevada and Middle Cambrian in Utah, rising in the time scale from west to east, as does the quartzite. The carbonates above the shale zone seem to be restricted to a specific time from Nevada eastward into Utah.

According to Wheeler (1951) the lowermost carbonate unit in the House Range is the Millard limestone. If the limestone formation are restricted by specific time boundaries, then the lowermost limestone in the Stansbury Range will be equivalent to the Millard limestone of the House Range. In the Stansbury Range this limestone unit precedes the shale unit. The Pioche shale which underlies the Millard in the House Range if present in the Stansbury Range would have to follow the Millard limestone.

Lithologically the beds above the Millard Limestone are much more shaly, silty, and sandy in the Stansbury Range than in the House Range, indicating later inundation and closer position to the source of the sediments.

Tintic quartzite

The Tintic quartzite was named by Smith, Tower, and Emmons (1906, p. 1) for exposures in the Tintic district and it is recognized widely in West Central Utah. In the northern part of the area mapped, the upper part of the Tintic is exposed in the core of an anticline which extends along the western edge of the Stansbury Range. The Tintic disappears in the nose of the anticline, which plunges to the south under younger beds of the Ophir group.

Although none of the basal Paleozoic quartzite formations in Utah contain datable fossils, it seems certain the quartzite in the Stansbury Range is to be correlated with the Tintic quartzite of the Tintic Range and with Prospect Mountain quartzite of the House Range and the Cambrian quartzites of the Wasatch Range. All these quartzites lie conformably beneath the shale sequence which carries fossils of Lower and Middle Cambrian age.

No complete thickness for the Tintic could be obtained in this area, but a thickness of 2300-3200 feet is found in the Tintic Range (Morris 57), 1,375 feet in the House Range, and 2,500 feet in Gold Hill (Nolan 1935). Robert Cohenour (57) measured 2,572 feet in the Sheeprock Range whereas 300+ feet is exposed

in the Oquirrh Range and 1500 feet in Cottonwood Canyon of the Wasatch Range. The Tintic quartzite is dominantly a light colored, medium grained and medium bedded quartzite with a few beds of micaceous shale in the upper part.

Ophir group

The Ophir formation was elevated to group status by Cohenour (57) who recognized within it the following formations; Pioche shale, Busby formation, Millard formation, Burnt Canyon formation, Dome limestone, and Condor formation. The author believes that most of these formations can be recognized on the west side of the Stansbury Range in the vicinity of Dry Canyon. A total thickness of 647 feet was measured by the writer in the Stansbury Range.

In both the Tintic Range and the Sheeprock Range shale beds lie conformably on the Tintic quartzite. Cohenour (57) has correlated these shale beds with the Pioche shale. There are no shale beds immediately above the Tintic quartzite in the Stansbury Range. The writer believes the Pioche shale is missing in the Stansbury Range and that the Busby formation as described by Cohenour (57, pp. 44-45) conformably overlies the Tintic quartzite. Nolan (1935) considers the Busby quartzite as being the initial deposit of the Middle Cambrian, deposited under shallow water conditions (shown by mud cracks). In the Stansbury Range the basal portion of the Ophir group, 50-75 feet thick, is a fairly coarse grained rock, gray brown on fresh fracture which weathers to shades of reddish brown. The rock resembles a graywacke, and shale partings are present. Above

the basal coarse-grained quartzite there is little uniformity or continuity in the strata.

The succeeding 296 feet is considered to be equivalent to the Millard formation. It is represented by a basal pisolitic limestone, a middle shale unit, and an upper pisolitic limestone. The following 90.5 feet is a probable Burnt Canyon formation equivalent, whereas the next 27 feet probably represent the Dome limestone and the remaining 155.5 feet the Condor formation equivalent.

On the west side of the Desert anticline the formations of the Ophir group are missing because of thrusting of younger limestone beds over the shaly beds. Because of the absence of the Pioche shale, the relatively small thicknesses of some of the formations and the uncertainty due to thrusting and faulting the group has been mapped as one unit.

Fossils from the Ophir group were identified by Christina L. Balk as being from the Glossopleura zone with the following comment: "no Lower Cambrian is present, the two collections, (C 1 and C 2) representing the Glossopleura zone, would correlate your lithic unit with the Ophir shale if in the south or the Spence shale member in the north". C 2, approximately 60 feet higher in section would be from the Burnt Canyon formation equivalent.

C 1 - Glossopleura cf. producta or bion Glossopleura zone
 cf. Ehmaniella quadrans (H. & W.)
Iphidella pannula (White)

C 2 - many distorted head of cf. Ehmaniella Glossopleura zone
quadrans (H. & W.)
 a few tails and 1 free cheek of Glossopleura sp.
 cf. Westonia ella (H. & W.)

The following section was measured south of Dry Canyon in section 9, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Ophir Group</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Shale and siltstone: alternating shale and siltstone. At base is 8 feet of paper-thin light-green shale containing slaty cleavage followed by 14 feet of orange-brown, limy, siltstone, overlaid by approximately 60 feet of light-green shale, in turn overlaid by limy siltstone, somewhat reddish in color containing fragments of trilobites, and silty bandings along the bedding planes, above is more of the light-green shale.	128.5
2. Limestone: gray black, weathering yellow to buff, dense, very finely crystalline, almost quartzitic, less silty and thinner partings than #1, the top is more silty, brown to light green in color and is a cliff former.	27
3. Limestone: black, weathering light-gray, dense, finely crystalline, contains numerous silty partings and twiggy bodies.	27
4. Limestone: blue-black with numerous silty partings, weathers rusty due to the large amount of silt, becoming more silty toward the top with approximately 2 feet of light green micaceous shale.	54
5. Shale: light green, weathers orange to purple, compact with paper-thin partings, breaks easily, more silty and less micaceous than the shales below, contains slaty cleavage and trilobites (C 2).	18.5
6. Limestone: gray-black on fresh surface, weathering buff to light gray, dense, finely crystalline with silt interspersed in lower part and silty partings above. It contains several beds of light green shale; algal bodies of smaller diameter than in #3 are found at top	58
7. Shale and siltstone: lower 30 feet is gray-green irregular platy beds one-fourth to one-half inch thick, silty, micaceous, and sandy becoming more green, silty and less sandy up-	

Ophir group-cont.Thickness
in feet

- wards, containing slaty cleavage across bedding planes, weathers red to green, dense, with trilobites (C 1) found near the top. 75
8. Limestone: blue-gray, weathering buff to brown, fine crystalline, containing much silt interspersed, thick to thin bedded; in places orange-brown silty bands appear, becoming more silty, manganiferous, and cherty toward the top; the beds contain algal stringers and balls which are larger than in #6; this unit is a slope-former and not well exposed 181
9. Sandstone and siltstone: blue-gray, weathering orange-brown; limy and silty quartzitic sandstone, thick-bedded, grading upward into softer, sandier, more limy sandstone that weathers light-brown to tan, containing interbedded siltstone 78
- Total Ophir group. 647.0

Swasey limestone

The Swasey limestone was named by Walcott (1908) for outcrops on Swasey Peak in the House Range. Wheeler and Steele (1951) report the Swasey as being "dark to medium gray, fine to medium grained, thick bedded argillaceous limestone". The Condor formation is considered by them to be the basal member of the Swasey.

In the Stansbury Range the Swasey limestone lies between the thin bedded limestone and shale beds of the Condor formation and the flaggy, thin bedded limestone of the Wheeler formation. The beds, which are thin to thick, generally are not well exposed, but in places they form prominent cliffs.

The following section was measured south of Dry Canyon in section 9, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.; Fossils found in the unit are described on page 15.

<u>Swasey limestone</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Limestone: blue-black weathering blue-black with silty partings, where silty partings are exposed it appears tan; partings becoming less prominent upward144.5
Total Swasey limestone144.5

Wheeler formation

The Wheeler formation, named by Walcott (1908) for exposures in the House Range, is 238 feet thick in the Stansbury Range. The formation consists of dark blue-gray, fine grained, thin bedded, flaggy limestone characterized by the smoothness and flatness of the bedding plane. Smooth, tan to reddish-tan silty partings furnish breakage planes for the beds. In the House Range the Wheeler formation consists of dull sooty-gray, fine grained, thin fissile, shaly limestones and calcareous shales. The beds appear thinner bedded and more shaly in the House Range than in the Stansbury Range.

The following section was measured south of Dry Canyon in section 9, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.; The formation yielded fossils that are described on p. 15.

<u>Wheeler formation</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Limestone: not well exposed, contains a few beds of light-gray limestone, mainly black, weathering orange-brown, very finely crystalline laminated to thin flaggy bedding characterized by smoothness and flatness of bedding planes, weathering in slabs and blocks with tan to orange-brown silty partings. Trilobites were found179.0
2. Limestone: not well exposed, being a valley-former, appears to be shaly, at top is black.	

Wheeler formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

limestone, very finely crystalline, thin bedded to laminated with thin silty laminae, limestone stands in relief	59.0
Total Wheeler formation.238.0

Marjum formation

The Marjum formation, which is 298.5 feet thick, lies between the Wheeler formation and the Cole Canyon dolomite. The formation is composed of carbonate rocks and shale, being mostly thin bedded, undulating limestone beds with tan silty partings and laminations.

The Marjum formation was named in the House Range by Walcott (1908), described by Wheeler (1948), and also observed by the writer. It is dark gray, argillaceous, fine-grained, thin-bedded limestone with sooty-gray to almost black, fissile and platy, calcæous irregular bedded shale. Both the Wheeler and Marjum formation appear much the same in the Stansbury and House Range except for the more shaly texture and thinner beds of both formations in the House Range.

Measured south of Dry Canyon in section 9 T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

Marjum limestoneThickness
in feet

1. Limestone: black, weathering pale blue-gray, dense crypto-crystalline, thin bedded, found in thin platy beds due to silty partings. Un- dulating bedding planes give blotched or twiggy appearance, blotches are orange to tan, the lower 70 feet contains trilobites found only on silty partings. The last 20 feet is more pinkish. .	298.5
Total Marjum limestone298.5

The following section was measured south of Dry Canyon in section 9 T. 5 S., R 6 W.:

<u>Cole Canyon dolomite</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Dolomite: gray black, weathering orange brown, medium to thick bedded, containing considerable silt, little change except somewhat darker upward in section.532.5
2. Dolomite: similar to #1, orange brown and silty, medium to thick bedded ledge-former.134
3. Dolomite: gray-black, weathers sandy-gray-brown, finely crystalline, thin to medium bedded with 2 feet of conglomerate 15 feet from base; top is light-gray weathering tan to buff, very dense with thick to massive bedding45
Total Cole Canyon dolomite711.50

Upper Cambrian Undifferentiated

The lower beds of the Upper Cambrian are marked by the abundance of clastic sediments, which seems to indicate a major break in deposition. The lower 774.4 feet, which is probably an Opex formation equivalent, is thin to thick bedded. It is represented by alternating beds of shale, oolitic limestone, flat pebble conglomerate, medium- and coarse-grained, brown weathering sandstone, and dusky blue-gray dolomite. The shale beds which are mostly red and green do not appear to continue laterally. Linguloid brachiopods were found near the base but no diagnostic trilobites were discovered.

The upper part of the Upper Cambrian, an Ajax formation equivalent, is composed entirely of dolomite. Light and dark mottling is common, and pisolite beds were noted in the upper part.


The Emerald member, described in the Tintic district, appears to be present in the upper part. The Ajax which was formerly considered as Ordovician has been included in the Upper Cambrian by Morris (57). In the Stansbury Range the Ajax and Opex could not be mapped separately because the limestone beds have been altered to dolomite at different horizons throughout the area and no key bed was present. Fossils were poorly preserved but Billingsella spp. was identified.

The following section was measured on ridge south of Dry Canyon in section 10 T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Upper Cambrian Undifferentiated</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Dolomite: medium gray to blue on fresh surface, buff to brown-gray on weathered surface. dense, coarsely crystalline, upper 10 feet is more limy with oolites, grading from a dolomite into a limestone; at top the beds are somewhat pinkish and more silty	160
2. Dolomite: white to pinkish gray, weathers white to tan, sugary texture on weathered surface.	215
3. Dolomite: dense gray to brownish-black on weathered surface, more bluish on fresh surface.	85
4. Dolomite: white to pinkish gray, weathers white to tan, dense, quartzitic, looks sugary on weathered surface (appears to be a key bed)	62
5. Dolomite: varies light to dark gray, the light is coarse quartzitic, the dark is light on fresh surface and is dense to finely crystalline, contains some silt which gives a sombre-gray color to weathered surface	229
6. Dolomite: gray to black, tan to buff on weathered surface, sometimes appearing pinkish, dense, finely crystalline in basal 150 feet becoming increasingly lighter in color until it is light-gray, weathering tan to white. At 150 feet from	

Upper Cambrian Undifferentiated-cont.Thickness
in feet

	base a bed of pebble conglomerates appears; pebbles are angular and matrix is limy, several conglomerates are present but dolomites continue much the same. At top there appears an 18 feet orange siltstone and shale bed	288
7.	Limestone: medium to dark-gray, weathers white to tan, silty, with silty bands which stand out in relief, is medium bedded, dense, crypto-crystalline.	27.4
8.	Dolomite: salt and pepper gray on fresh surface, weathers charcoal-gray, the top beds contain silty, cherty and sandy layers alternating with blue-gray dolomite, shows evidence of brecciation probably soon after laying down, basal beds are coarse-grained dolomite and pebble conglomerate.	61
9.	Dolomite: light gray to white, dense, fine to medium crystalline, in places somewhat sugary on weathered surface	298
0.	Dolomite: dense, finely crystalline dolomite, similar to #9.	83
1.	Limestone: black, dense, fine crystalline, thick bedded with alternating silty partings which are rusty brown in color, tiny scattered algal spots appear throughout	63
2.	Dolomite: light gray, weathers nearly white, dense and finely crystalline	64
3.	Limestone: blue-gray to blue-black, silty, thin to medium bedded and fine to crypto-crystalline; silt being found in irregular partings, giving tan color	121
4.	Limestone: blue-black, thin-bedded with many silty partings alternating with light-gray, thin-bedded, silty limestone that weathers greenish-gray and contains Linguloid brachiopods. Beds are irregular and seem to be somewhat unconformably on the Cole Canyon dolomite. Four feet of dense buff to brown non-calcareous siltstone that fractures concordially appears near the top.	57
	Total Cambrian Undifferentiated.	1,813.4



CORRELATION OF LOWER PALEOZOIC ROCKS IN NORTH CENTRAL UTAH

FIGURE 3

The Ordovician System

Ordovician rocks are exposed along the west flank of the range. A well exposed section was found and measured south of Dry Canyon and north of Rock Springs in sections 14, and 15, T. 5 S., R. 7 W. The Cambrian-Ordovician boundary was established on the basis of lithologic changes which has been the criteria for separation in other regions in Utah (Hintze, 1951). The contact which appears to be conformable places the lowermost limestone beds of the Ordovician on a dark, massive bedded dolomite of the Upper Cambrian. In central Utah and several Nevada localities an underlying dolomite is found, while in western Utah a massive unfossiliferous limestone (Notch Peak formation) underlies the House limestone; The House limestone as far as can be determined is equivalent to the Garden City formation.

Three distinct lithologic units are present in the Ordovician of the Stansbury Range. The lower limestone sequence, which is mainly blue gray, thin- to medium- bedded, silty limestone with intraformational conglomerate correlates with the Garden City formation or Lower Pogonip group. A middle unit consists of interbedded siltstone, fissile shale, and limy sandstone corresponds with the Kanosh shale or lower Swan Peak. The upper sequence is a fine to medium crystalline resistant dolomite equivalent to the Fish Haven dolomite.

In the central Stansbury Range, Mississippian beds lie unconformably on the Cambrian. On the north side of Dry Canyon only the Garden City formation is present but the Kanosh shale and the Fish

Haven dolomite appear above the Garden City on the south side of the canyon.

Garden City Formation

The Garden City formation consists of marine silty limestone, interbedded limy shales, siltstones and intraformational conglomerates, which appear to conformably overlies Cambrian dolomite.

In the type area, the Garden City formation, according to Richardson (1913) consists of thick- and thin-bedded gray limestone, characterized by abundant conglomerate and breccia.

Much similarity can be recognized between what is here referred to as Garden City, and several formations of the Pogonip group. Hintze (1951, p.20) correlates the lower Ordovician section on the west side and also at the north end of the Stansbury Range with the Garden City formation, and states "that lithologically the lower Garden City formation and the lower Pogonip group are similar, they both contain considerable intraformation conglomerate and scattering of light colored chert stringers, however the cherty upper part of the Garden City formation differs considerable from the upper Pogonip group".

In section 10, T. 5 S., R. 7 W., over 1200 feet of Garden City was measured, while approximately 2 miles south less than 1,000 feet was found. The thinness of the southern section may be due to an error in measurement since the exposures of the Garden City formation are poor. Richardson (1913) contends that there is an erosional unconformity at the base of the Garden City formation as well as at the base of the Fish Haven dolomite. He bases this conclusion

on the fact that the Garden City formation and Fish Haven dolomite rest on different horizons in different parts of the Randolph quadrangle.

The following section was measured on ridge south of Dry Canyon in section 10 T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Garden City formation</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Limestone: blue gray, weathers medium gray, thin platy beds with considerable silt, a maroon shale bed is present near the top	131
2. Limestone: thin bedded, platy, somewhat shaly, coarsely crystalline	27.6
3. Limestone: medium blue-gray, weathers gray, fine crystalline, silty; contains thin partings of rust colored silt in subordinate amounts, some chert, and is a ledge-former.	89.9
4. Limestone: similar to #3, few silty partings and little chert, more dense, medium to thick bedded	241.6
5. Limestone: similar to #6, dense, sublithographic silty partings	184
6. Limestone: light gray, weathering rusty in lower part, tan to light gray in upper part, appears quite brecciated consisting of small rounded particles in similar matrix, larger angular particles, possible mud cracks with silty matrix; is ledge former.	145
7. Limestone: buff to red, sandy to silty	79
8. Limestone: rusty red, silty, fine sand and in places quartzitic; top thirty feet is light to medium gray, sublithographic, weathering pinkish gray	104
9. Limestone: light gray to tan on fresh surface, weathers somewhat pinkish to buff, fine to coarse crystalline, friable, silty, interbedded with dense buff siltstone; considerable intraformational conglomerate, beds appear to lens or thin	

Garden City formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

out or grade into other beds in a short distance, gastropods were found. 199

Total Garden City formation. 1201.1

The following fossils were collected and identified:

Trilobites:

Symphysurina lower Garden City

Sponges:

Nevadocoelia middle Garden City

Bryozoans:

Dictyonema c.f. flabelliforme middle Garden City

Graptolites:

Philograptus ilicifolius upper Garden City

Philograptus angustifolius upper Garden City

High spiralled gastropods and *Orthis* type brachiopods.

Kanosh shale

The Kanosh shale, which is equivalent to the lower Swan Peak formation is found on the west side of the Stansbury Range. Since there is no quartzite such as characterizes the Swan Peak in various other areas, the unit being predominately a fissile shale will be referred to as the Kanosh shale. Hintze (51) correlates the Kanosh and lower Swan Peak on paleontological grounds.

The absence of the quartzite in this area and its presence to the north and south of the Stansbury Range, may indicate an unconformity. Nolan (35) states that an unconformity exists at the base of upper Ordovician. He based this conclusion on the variable thickness of the Chokecherry dolomite, under the Fish Haven dolomite, and the absence of the upper quartzite member of the Swan Peak and Eureka quartzite by nondeposition or removal by later erosion.

The Kanosh shale is a very diagnostic unit, and contains an abundance of graptolites. The following fossils were found and identified:

Eleutherocentrus petersoni

Didymograptus nitidus

Didymograptus patulus

Didymograptus murchisoni

Lingulid brachiopods

The Garden City-Kanosh boundary is gradional, as a consequence of which, two separate measurements differ considerably. Arnold (56) measured 117 feet at the north end of the Stansbury Range. Bissell, McKinney and Peterson (Croft 56, pp. 7-8) measured 296 feet in section 11, T 5 S., R. 7 W., at the south end of the Stansbury Range, which agrees favorably with a measurement of 293 feet made by the writer, but is considerably more than a second measurement of 162.3 feet made by the writer. Croft divided the unit into three divisions: (1) a lower interbedded limestone, argillite and orthoquartzite (107 feet), (2) a middle shale and argillaceous limestone (164 feet), (3) and an upper "dolsiltite" and black shale (25 feet). Croft suggests that this last unit may be post-Swan Peak.

The Kanosh-Fish Haven boundary appears to mark a definite break in sedimentation but is usually not exposed. The lower contact with the Garden City formation is gradational. The writer prefers to use the second measurement (see above) of 162.3 feet because there seems to be a more definite break with the basal bed being a black limestone. The formation, a valley and slope-former, is generally poorly exposed but was a key unit in correlating the lower Paleozoic section.

The following section was measured between Barlow Hollow and Deadman Canyon in section 14, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Kanosh shale</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Shale: black to dark brown, containing lingulid brachiopods and a graptolite fauna.	33.6
2. Siltstone and shale: not well exposed; thin bedded blue-gray siltstone, and black to dark brown shale, appears orange-brown on weathered surface	49.0
3. Sandstone: not well exposed; appears somewhat rusty with some silt and quartzite lenses	32.1
4. Dolomite: black limestone at base, followed by a somewhat limy, dense quartzitic dolomite and quartzite, dirty gray in color, weathering buff to yellow-brown (rusty), and is thin bedded . .	47.6
Total Kanosh shale	162.3

Fish Haven dolomite

The Fish Haven dolomite consists of dense, finely crystalline dolomite. Alternating beds of black and gray mottled dolomite, give a distinctly banded pattern to the formation. In outcrop the beds form a resistant hill or slope above the generally less resistant slope-forming Garden City formation and Kanosh shale.

Hintze (1951, p. 23) states that the Upper Ordovician rocks, typically the dark dolomitic facies, are among the most persistent units in the eastern Great Basin. This dolomite has been recognized in northeastern Utah (Richardson 1919, pp. 409-410) where the name Fish Haven was first used. The name was also applied in the Gold Hill district by Nolan (1935, pp. 16-17) because of similar age and lithology.

At no locality has the Upper Ordovician been reported to lie

with angular unconformity upon the underlying quartzite; the lack of quartzite seems rather to indicate an erosional unconformity. Cohenour (1957 Fig. 5) has prepared an isopach map showing a salient projecting west from the Oquirrh Range through the south end and middle of the Stansbury Range during middle Ordovician time for which he has proposed the name "Stansbury Salient".

On the basis of lithology, and stratigraphic position; this series of dolomites are correlated with the Fish Haven at the type locality and elsewhere. Halysites sp. are fairly plentiful, as well as Streptelasma sp. and crinoid stems. Fossils are found mainly in the lower half of the formation.

Measured north of Barlow Hollow in section 14, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Fish Haven dolomite</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Dolomite: dense gray-black, medium crystalline, weathering black with a few interbedded light gray, fine to medium crystalline beds. A few corals were found at the base.	116
2. Dolomite: similar to beds in #1, more fossiliferous, mottled, and massive.	132
Total Fish Haven dolomite.	248.0

Silurian System

Laketown dolomite

The Laketown dolomite was named and described by Richardson (1913) for outcrops southeast of Bear Lake in the Randolph quadrangle. He describes it as being a "massive light gray to whitish dolomite, containing lenses of calcareous sandstone having a thick-

ness of approximately 1,000 feet". Fossils are rare.

Nolan (1935) extended the name Laketown to the Gold Hill district to include beds of Silurian age there. The name Laketown is now applied to most of the beds of Silurian age in northern and western Utah and has also been used into eastern Nevada.

In the Tintic district the name Bluebell dolomite is applied to beds of Silurian age. The Bluebell dolomite originally included the Fish Haven dolomite. Morris (1957) has divided the original Bluebell, calling the lower unit Fish Haven and retaining the name Bluebell for the upper unit, which includes the Ordovician, Silurian, and Devonian time boundaries.

In the Stansbury Range the time boundaries cannot be recognized definitely, the contacts being drawn on the basis of lithology. A basal white to light gray, medium to thin-bedded dolomite is present which is similar to that described by Richardson (1913) and others in various areas. The basal beds are unfossiliferous and a relatively thin unit, overlaid by darker dolomite which contains chert and some pentameroid type brachiopods.

Due to faulting the dolomite beds in the southern Stansbury Range are extremely shattered and altered. In the vicinity of Rock Springs there is repetition of beds due to normal faulting. To the north the beds are less altered and shattered but are cut out on the south side of Dry Canyon by the pre-Mississippian unconformity.

In the Stansbury Range the Laketown dolomite appears to be similar to the Bluebell of the East Tintic district, being separated into two members by the "curly bed", a bed of crinkley-laminated, medium and light gray dolomite, with laminae less than

a millimeter thick. The upper member is principally medium to dark-gray, medium to thick bedded, medium to coarse grained dolomite.

Nolan (1913, p. 17) claims there is little evidence of an unconformity between the Fish Haven dolomite and the overlying Laketown dolomite at Gold Hill, Pioche Nev., or in the Randolph quadrangle, but contends that the absence of late Upper Ordovician and early Silurian faunas at these localities implies that there has been a considerable hiatus in sedimentation. Williams (1948, p. 1137) made similar observations in the Logan quadrangle, and came to the same conclusion.

In the upper half of the formation the following forms were found:

Favosites sp.
Halvsites sp.
Eridophyllum sp.

The following section was measured north of Barlow Hollow in section 14, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Laketown dolomite</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Dolomite: blue-gray weathering light blue-gray, dense, medium bedded, with pentameroid brachiopods at base and cherty stringers at the top.	143
2. Dolomite: dark gray to blue, weathering buff to brown, dense, somewhat sandy and silty, with chert appearing at top, somewhat mottled and appears shattered.	65
3. Dolomite: similar to #5 with little chert.	64
4. Dolomite: blue-gray weathering dark blue-gray, dense, finely crystalline, on weathered surface is silty, chert is more in bands and beds are cliff formers	58

Laketown dolomite-cont.Thickness
in feet

- | | | |
|----|--|--------|
| 5. | Dolomite: brownish, somber looking, breaks along numerous silty to sandy fractures that give an orange-brown color to weathered surface; these beds contain considerable chert, sand, silt, and in places limonite. Being mottled it gives the appearance of being faulted; this is probably the "leopard skin" bed referred to in the Tintic area which is splattered with chert. | 145 |
| 6. | Dolomite: mainly white to tan on weathered surface, light to medium gray on fresh, dense sublithographic, finely crystalline, thin to medium bedded. | 139.5 |
| | Total Laketown dolomite. | 614.50 |

Devonian System

Devonian rocks are exposed south of Dry Canyon on the west side of the Stansbury Range. No Devonian beds are exposed north of Dry Canyon because of the pre-Mississippian erosional unconformity. The Devonian consists entirely of dolomite and was measured east of Rock Springs in section 23, T. 5 S., R. 7 W. Correlation with other areas was made on lithologic similarity as no diagnostic fossils were found.

Nolan (35, p. 22) accounts for the origin of the pre-Carboniferous dolomitic formations by the alteration of an original limestone deposit prior to the rock being elevated above sea level, the alteration occurring very shortly after the deposition of the limestone, for the most part in shallow water and probably at times of little or no deposition.

Such features as cross-bedding, oolites, and pisolites,

intraformational conglomerates, local unconformities and lenticular beds occur throughout dolomitic formations. Many of the beds not possessing these features contain mottling due to areas of less complete dolomitization. Near many of these mottled dolomites, beds of relatively pure limestone are found.

Nolan has suggested that a prolonged exposure of calcareous mud to current action would also permit selective leaching of calcium carbonate by sea water, a phenomenon which is known to occur at present in nature.

Because of the aphanitic texture of the Sevy dolomite, some have thought that it possibly represents a primary dolomite. Roy Waite (personal communications) reports limestone to be found in small patches within the Sevy dolomite of Nevada, indicating a secondary source. It is these patches of limestone that contain fossils.

Sevy dolomite

The Sevy dolomite was named by Nolan (1935) from exposures in Sevy Canyon in the Gold Hill area, Utah. Nolan states (p. 18) that "the typical rock is a well-bedded, mouse-gray dolomite in layers 6 to 12 inches thick and weathers to a very light gray". It has an extremely dense texture and fractures conchoidally. In most beds a faint lamination parallel to the bedding is visible. A few beds of darker dolomite occur near the top.

Nolan measured 450 feet of Sevy in the Gold Hill area which is considerably more than is found in the Stansbury Range. The few

fossils found in the Gold Hill area are not diagnostic for determination of age. The formation grades upward into overlying Simonson dolomite which contains a Middle Devonian fauna.

The Water Canyon formation which marks the base of Devonian in the Logan quadrangle is reported by Williams to be of Early Devonian age (Williams, 1948). It consists essentially of thin bedded silty and sandy dolomites that weather smoke gray to white or buff. This description fits that of the Sevy dolomite of western Utah. It has been supposed by many stratigraphers that Devonian seas did not invade western and northern Utah until late Middle Devonian or Upper Devonian time but Roy Waite (personal communication) reported Spirifer kobehana (Lower Devonian age) as being found in the Sevy in eastern Nevada. Because of the lack of fossils no age is set on the Sevy beds of the Stansbury Range.

The range in thickness of the Sevy dolomite in the area would indicate considerable relief on the underlying surface. Williams (1948, p. 1137) reports a hiatus at the base of the Water Canyon dolomite in the Logan quadrangle, though no noticeable unconformity was observed. Nolan (1935, p. 18) observed a truncation of beds at the top of the Laketown dolomite indicating a pronounced unconformity between the Laketown dolomite and the Sevy dolomite.

The following measurement was obtained east of Rock Springs in section 23, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

Sevy dolomite

Thickness
in feet

1. Dolomite: light gray, weathers light gray to white, microcrystalline to finely crystalline

Sevy dolomite-cont.Thickness
in feet

slightly sandy in the upper few feet, thin
to medium bedded, containing good bedding. 75

Total Sevy dolomite. 75

Simonson dolomite

The Simonson dolomite, named by Nolan (1935) from Simonson Canyon in the Gold Hill area, Utah, consists in the main of dark- to medium-gray, sucrose, crystalline dolomite, weathering tan to brownish-gray. Both dark and light beds are found. The darker beds are more finely crystalline than the somewhat sandy lighter beds; beds range from thin to massive.

Nolan measured 963 feet at the Gold Hill area (1935 p. 19).

The following section was measured east of Rock Springs in section 23, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

Simonson dolomiteThickness
in feet

1. Dolomite: light, medium bedded, sandy on weathered surface, interbedded with medium gray, darker and more dense beds than #2 37.5
2. Dolomite: light gray, dense, medium crystalline cliff former that weathers brownish gray, becoming lighter and sandier upward in section. 55.5
3. Dolomite: alternating light and dark beds; the dark is almost lithographic; the light is more sandy, gives a banded appearance 10
4. Dolomite: blue-black, finely crystalline, no banding, massive bedded with smattering of calcite, thin laminae present in the lower 9 feet 29
5. Dolomite: similar to beds below, being a cliff former and containing good bedding 25

Simonson dolomite-cont.Thickness
in feet

6. Dolomite: medium gray, weathers buff to gray-brown, dense, medium crystalline, medium to massive bedded, and sucrose on weathered surface . . . 72

Total Simonson dolomite. 229.0

Stansbury-Pinyon Peak formation

The name "Stansbury formation" was proposed by Arnold (1956) for the Devonian outcrops at the north end of the Stansbury Range. He measured 1741 feet in the Flux area of which 1237 feet is reported to be conglomerate.

At the south end of the range the fragments in the conglomerate vary from 1 to 10 centimeters. The fragments are mostly rounded on the edges and most are somewhat elongated and aligned parallel with the bedding planes. The matrix which is a sandy dolomite, is tan to brown, while the fragments are made up of light and dark dolomite. The light fragments, which are nearly lithographic, appear to be derived from the base of the Laketown dolomite and Sevy dolomite. The dark dolomite fragments show similarity to the Fish Haven dolomite and Laketown dolomite.

Two separate measurements of the Stansbury formation were made at the south end of the Stansbury Range; one showed a thickness of 195.2 feet and the other 276 feet. The thinner section was measured approximately 2 miles north of Rock Springs and may have been affected somewhat by the pre-Mississippian unconformity as only one conglomerate bed is present. The formation varies considerably. On one ridge south of Rock Springs only one conglom-

erate bed, 60-75 feet thick, was observed. This is considerably more than either of the beds mentioned in the detailed description. The character of the limestone and dolomite immediately above, below and between the two conglomerate beds appears to vary considerably within the range, but the boundary is easily recognized above the sugary dolomites of the Simonson and below the cherty beds at the base of the Madison. The conglomerates are useful key beds in interpreting the fault structure.

The conglomerate, though poorly sorted at the south end of the Stansbury Range, appears to be much better sorted than at the northern end where Arnold (1956, p. 24) reports the fragments to range from 1 millimeter to 30 centimeters, with a maximum size of 74 centimeters. The fragments, ranging in size from 1 to 9 centimeters, account for 64 percent of the number of rock fragments recorded in Arnold's area. All fragments observed in the conglomerate at the south end of the Stansbury Range would fall into this size range.

Arnold recognized a pre-Mississippian unconformity at the north end of the range. He found on proceeding southward that basal Mississippian beds rest on successively older Paleozoic beds, the conglomerate beds being the first to disappear southward.

As to the origin of the conglomerates; Arnold (56, p.26) suggests pre-Mississippian uplift in the central part of the Stansbury Range. He mentions the Timpie area as another possible source, and states that "about 150 feet of Garden City strata overlies the Cambrian rocks conformably, but are in turn overlain by basal Mississippian strata with an angular discordance of about 20 degrees".

The writer can not definitely pinpoint the source of the conglomerate, but the following observations were made in the area mapped: (1) fragments are much smaller at the south end, (2) conglomerate beds are much thinner to the south, (3) though the central part is missing, the conglomerate appears to be a wedge thinning to the south, (4) the Stansbury formation is the first formation to disappear under the unconformity, (5) nowhere was the formation found on any beds older than Simonson.

On the basis of the above facts it would appear that the conglomerate would have been derived from an area north of the pre-Mississippian unconformity in the central part of the Stansbury Range and that the uplift in the central part of the range occurred following the deposition of at least most of the Stansbury formation. It is entirely possible that the area which is now the central part of the range was the source of the conglomerate, in that a much sharper and greater uplift at the north side of the pre-Mississippian uplift through the central part of the range could possibly have produced the same results as an uplift at the north end of the range.

The upper 70 feet of beds which lie conformably on the conglomerate appear to be equivalent to the Pinyon Peak limestone. The Pinyon Peak limestone was named by Loughlin (1919) for exposures in the Tintic district. As at Tintic, the beds are a thin, blue-gray, fine grained limestone. A tan to buff weathering dolomite is found at the top. A fossil collection was obtained approximately 30 feet below the base of the Madison. This included


Bothrophyllum, a coral indicating Early Mississippian age. Morris (1957) reports that the Pinyon Peak limestone in the Tintic district overlaps the Devonian-Mississippian time boundary. Because of lithologic similarity and fossils obtained, the writer believes the units described at Tintic and in the Stansbury Range are equivalent.

The following section was measured east of Rock Springs in section 23, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Stansbury-Pinyon Peak formation</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Limestone: basal beds are dark gray to black, finely crystalline, thin bedded, containing corals; grading into medium gray, tan to buff weathering shaly limestone, containing a variety of fossils of lower Mississippian age. At top is 20 feet of dolomite, which is silty, light gray to tan, weathering tan to buff; is thick bedded with sugary weathered surface and chert at the top . . .	67.5
2. Limestone and conglomerate: silty and sandy limestone with silty partings, weathering orange-brown in lower part; overlaid by more conglomerate which was not well exposed, but appears to be similar to #3. A limestone which capped the conglomerate was also poorly exposed	83
3. Conglomerate: made up of fragments of both light and dark dolomite, varying considerably in size, ranging from 1-9 centimeters. Fragments are rounded on the edges, most are somewhat elongated and are aligned parallel to the bedding plane. The matrix is dolomitic, tan to brown, and is sandy. . . .	15
4. Dolomite: dense quartzite grading upwards into limy quartzitic dolomite	52
5. Dolomite: orange-brown, weathers orange-brown, limy, silty and sandy; some beds are friable, and silty partings are represented. Though not well exposed, it appears to grade into gray to pink, silty limestone that weathers tan.	58.5
Total Stansbury-Pinyon Peak formation.	276.0

CORRELATION OF UPPER PALEOZOIC ROCKS IN NORTH CENTRAL UTAH

FIGURE 4



Mississippian System

Mississippian time in the Rocky Mountain area was marked by much more extensive seaways than most of the previous Paleozoic periods. Following deposition of the Madison limestone, silts and sands became more prominent, indicating rising of adjacent highlands. Following the early carbonate deposition and a subsequent period of clastic deposition came a period of alternating carbonate and clastic deposition.

The Mississippian system is represented in the Stansbury Range by more than 3,000 feet of strata consisting mainly of carbonate sediments. The system has been subdivided into the following units; Lower Madison dolomite, Upper Madison limestone, Pine Canyon limestone, Humbug formation, Great Blue limestone, and part of the Manning Canyon shale.

In various areas in Utah, a pre-Mississippian unconformity is in evidence. That this pre-Mississippian unconformity existed is seen in the central part of the Stansbury Range where Lower Madison dolomite rests on Cambrian beds. In the Uinta Range, Weeks (1907) found Mississippian beds resting on Cambrian, and Nolan, in the Gold Hill quadrangle, found evidence of a pre-Mississippian unconformity. This is in line with the same east-west element that represented the middle Ordovician.

Gilluly (1932 p.22) mentions a pre-Mississippian unconformity, which he interpreted to be represented by karst topography between his "Jefferson dolomite" and "Madison limestone". The writer believes, on the basis of lithologic similarity of the Jefferson dol-

omite, as mapped by Gilluly, and the Lower Madison dolomite as represented in the Stansbury Range, that Gilluly's Jefferson dolomite is of Mississippian age, and that the unconformity he shows between the Jefferson dolomite and the Madison limestone, (which does not appear to be represented in the Stansbury Range) is local and of minor significance. If the above conclusion is correct, Mississippian beds in the Oquirrh Range rest on the Lynch dolomite of Cambrian age, corresponding with the unconformity of the Stansbury Range. This correlation seems to be confirmed by Granger and Sharp (1952, p. 9).

Madison limestone

In the Tintic area, Morris (personal communication) has subdivided the Madison limestone into the "Fitchville" and "Gardison formations", the name Gardner being abandoned because it included part of the Devonian Pinyon Peak.

The writer has subdivided the Madison into two units similar to the subdivision in the Tintic area; but because the names Fitchville and Gardison have not been approved as yet, the units will be referred to informally as "Upper Madison limestone" and "Lower Madison dolomite".

The base of the Madison is not a sharp lithologic contact. The white quartzite referred to in other areas, in the underlying Devonian formations does not appear to be present at the south end of the Stansbury Range; so the contact has been arbitrarily taken as the base of the first cherty beds above the Stansbury conglomerate.

The Lower Madison, 370 feet thick, consists of massive to thin bedded, light to dark gray dolomite and light gray and buff clastic limestone. The texture shows considerable variety. The middle unit is characterized by oval shaped, calcite filled vugs approximately 3 inches in diameter, and is often referred to as the "eye bed". This unit was referred to as Jefferson dolomite by Baker (1949) and also by Gilluly (1932) but fossil evidence indicates Mississippian and not Devonian age. (Granger and Sharp, 1952, p. 8)

The Upper Madison limestone, 466.5 feet thick, consists of bluish-gray dense limestone, and is easily distinguished from all the underlying limestones by its freedom from mottling of any sort. Coarse varieties are present, consisting mainly of fossil hash and crinoid stems. The following fossil forms were identified in the field:

Syringopora sp.
Lithostrotionella sp.
Euomphalus sp.
Caninia sp.

The following section was measured east of Rock Springs in section 23, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

<u>Lower Madison dolomite</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Limestone: light-gray, weathering buff to gray-brown; top 8 feet is medium blue-gray, weathering gray-black with a sandy appearance forming contact with upper Madison	41
2. Limestone: coarse, dolomitic, gray-black weathering, without chert bands, white calcite blobs present, and is a cliff former.	195
3. Dolomite: brownish gray, dense, very fine grained, thin bedded, limy, weathers buff, medium crystalline, sandy in the basal 57 feet. A little shale is found above this but for the most part it is a dense blue, dolomitic limestone with alternating chert bands and	

Lower Madison dolomite-cont. Thickness
in feet

a few calcite blobs. It is a slope-former,
rather massive with few good bedding planes 134

Total Lower Madison limestone 370.0

The following section was measured east of Rock Springs in
section 23, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

Upper Madison limestone Thickness
in feet

1. Limestone: dark blue-black weathering dark
blue-gray, thicker bedded than #2 and #3,
slope forming, probable contact with Mississ-
ippian Pine Canyon: Eumpholids are abundant 12

2. Limestone: dark gray to gray black, weath-
ering the same with considerable pinkish beds
finely crystalline, beds 6 inches to 1.5 feet
thick. 232

3. Limestone: dark blue-black, weathers red to
dark gray, thin, fossiliferous beds, finely
crystalline, characterized by step like beds;
fossils stand out in relief, contains calcite
stringers and in places, small vugs filled with
calcite; beds are $\frac{1}{2}$ inches to 6 inches; occas-
ional red, pinkish silty beds or partings;
considerable calcite at top 230.5

Total Upper Madison limestone 474.5

Total Madison limestone 844.5

Pine Canyon limestone (?)

The Pine Canyon limestone, named by Loughlin (1919, pp. 40-41)
for exposures in the Tintic district, is exposed along the west face
of the Stansbury Range. At present it appears that the name Pine
Canyon limestone will probably be abandoned. In the Tintic area the
Upper Madison has been redefined to include the chert beds of the

lower Mississippian Pine Canyon and renaming the upper beds of the Pine Canyon calling them Deseret. In the Stansbury Range the units appear to be similar, but an unconformity with as much as 25° angular discordance was noted by the writer, below the massive chert beds. Below the chert beds the Madison consists of smooth, flaggy limestone beds. Above the unconformity, breccia was found in several places followed by the massive cherty limestones. Because of the unconformity and dissimilarity of the units the writer believes the logical break in the Stansbury Range is below the cherty limestone, and the name Pine Canyon is therefore used.

Reid (1954, p. 16) reports that the Madison formation of the Wasatch Plateau area is of Kinderhookian and Osagian age, with no angular discordance known at the base, but reports an erosional unconformity (disconformity) above, stating "a post-Osagian erosional interval profoundly influenced the upper portion of the Madison formation". Lingren and Loughlin (1919, p. 41) state that the lower part of the Pine Canyon is Madison age and that the upper coarse-grained limestones are of Late Mississippian age.

Fossils found included fenestellid bryozoans, Crinoid columnals, productid brachiopods, and Lithostrotionella sp.

The following section was measured east of Rock Springs in section 23, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

Pine Canyon limestone

Thickness
in feet

1. Limestone: gray-black, finely crystalline, weathering almost black, becoming increasingly more clastic toward top; top bed contains thick cherty bands and is dense, dark-blue

Pine Canyon limestone-cont.Thickness
in feet

	limestone; at the base a few fossils were found, mainly corals, calcitic and not well preserved; none in middle but a few at top	202
2.	Limestone: the lower 33 feet is blue limestone, above that the beds are very sandy alternating with dense limestone for 72 feet; above the beds are shaly, more silty, thin platy, light gray-brown in color; the beds become increasingly more limy until no clastics are visible at the top	208
3.	Covered interval: the beds are not exposed, being a slope and valley former; pieces of chert and shale are abundant.	116
4.	Limestone: dark-gray, similar to bed below with cherty banding; and some brecciation found at top.	141.5
5.	Limestone: dark-gray, weathers buff to dark-gray-brown, contains fossils, with no chert bandings but with few blobs, could possibly still be upper Mississippian Madison formation. The basal beds were found to be somewhat conglomeratic and in places rest unconformably on the Mississippian Madison limestone.	42
	Total Pine Canyon limestone	709.5

Humbug formation

The Humbug formation conformably overlies the Pine Canyon (?) limestone, but a definite break is present between the clastic beds of the Humbug formation and the underlying beds of the Pine Canyon. In the area measured, the Humbug formation is a slope former, covered mainly by float from the clastic beds, which largely masks the really considerable limestone portion of the formation. The sandstones and quartzites alternate with limestone in the formation, and according to Gilluly (1932) these clastic sediments make up only 30% of the section.

The general absence of fine clastic material is striking, considering the numerous alternations of limestone and coarser clastic rocks. Gilluly (1932) attributes the presence of such clastic rocks as the quartzite of the Humbug formation, to powerful current action, yet the limestone may indicate an area free from currents. Gilluly suggests that there may have been an alternation of active currents and calm, or that the contributing terrane consisted dominantly of quartzose and limy sediments.

Tower and Smith (1897, p. 625) named the formation from outcrops in the vicinity of the Humbug mine in the Tintic district. In northern and central Utah the Humbug has been recognized at many localities. On the basis of similar lithology and stratigraphic position, the Humbug in the Stansbury Range is correlated with the Humbug formation described by Gilluly (1932, p. 28) in the Oquirrh Range. He found that the sandstones are lenticular and lens out, and that the formation could possibly differ considerably in thickness within a few feet.

Fossils collected include poorly preserved brachiopods and corals. The following were collected in the Onaqui Range to the south by Croft (56 p. 21)

Ekvasophyllum sp.
Lithstroton Whitneyi (Meek)
Diphyphyllum (?) sp.

The following section was measured east of Rock Springs in section 23 and 24, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.:

Humbug formation

Thickness
in feet

1. Limestone: similar to the beds below, grading upward into a better limestone, contact seems

Humbug formation-cont..

Thickness
in feet

	to be gradational with denser quartzitic limestone. . .	315
2.	Limestone: the beds are coarse, granite-gray to white with coarse sandy banding; some pinkish beds appear; thicker beds have spalled appearance on weathered surface; thin beds are pink to gray-brown, weathering orange-brown to pink, silty to sandy, friable and porous, limestone having been removed on weathered surface. . . .	164
3.	Limestone: light blue-gray to gray-brown (salt and pepper), weathering gray-brown; dense, medium crystalline, very sandy, somewhat quartzitic, it has conchoidal fracture; medium to massive bedded, often no fossils.	231
	Total Humbug formation.	710.0

Great Blue limestone

The name "Great Blue", before being adopted by Spurr (1895, p. 375) for exposures in the Mercur mining district, was originally a miner's term. The Great Blue limestone consists of a lower and an upper limestone, separated by shaly beds, which were named the "Long Trail shale member" by Gilluly (1932, p. 29). Gilluly found the Long Trail shale to be about 85 feet thick, which is considerably more than in the Stansbury Range, where it is poorly exposed. In the Stansbury Range the Long Trail shale could not be followed with certainty and therefore was not mapped as a separate unit.

The upper and lower boundaries of the Great Blue are conformable and gradational with the Humbug formation and Manning Canyon shale. The lower boundary was arbitrarily placed at the top of the highest group of sandstones, although considerable sandstone occurs higher in the section, also the first good limestone is present at

the base.

Bryozoans are present throughout the section and corals occur profusely in the lower portion.

The following species were collected:

- Faberophyllum sp.
- Ekvasophyllum sp.

The writer correlates the Great Blue limestone of the Stansbury Range with the Great Blue of the type area in the Oquirrh Range (Gilluly 1932, p. 30) on the basis of similar fauna, lithology, and stratigraphic position.

The lower part of the following section was measured east of Rock Springs in section 24, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.: The upper part of the section above unit #9 was offset to the south and measured south of Victory Canyon in section 25, T. 5 S., R. 7 W.: and section 30, T. 5 S., R. 6 W.:

<u>Great Blue formation</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Limestone: lower 8 feet is brown, medium crystalline, very silty, weathers orange-brown; followed by 12 feet of dark-blue limestone, that is medium bedded, medium crystalline, somewhat silty; weathers gray to pink and grades into quartzite of Manning Canyon.	20
2. Limestone: medium blue-gray, weathers gray to tan, medium bedded with much silt in irregular stringers and contains a little chert	8
3. Shale: very compact gray-green shale, which has a pink and orange hue on weathered surface, weathers in approximately 1/8 inch beds.	27
4. Limestone: gray to brown, weathering gray-tan to orange-brown, coarsely crystalline, silty, medium bedded, containing a little chert and some indistinct fossils, base is a denser gray weathering limestone, the two being gradational	25

Great Blue formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

5. Limestone: contains four feet of cherty limestone at the base, overlaid by 30 feet of gray-green very silty limestone, that weathers tan to orange, not well exposed, this in turn is capped by 5 feet of dense, finely crystalline, gray limestone that weathers orange-brown 39
6. Limestone: medium to dark-gray, weathers medium gray, somewhat silty, medium bedded; contains a few chert nodules; calcite stringers are abundant . . 31
7. Limestone: not well exposed, appears to be silty, weathering tan to orange-brown 10
8. Limestone: blue-gray, weathers gray, medium to thick bedded, medium crystalline, occasional chert nodules and a few calcite stringers 15
9. Limestone: blue-gray, weathers dark-gray, fine crystalline, silty, contains some chert nodules and numerous calcite stringers. 58
10. Limestone: the basal 10 feet is maroon, thin bedded, silty; above, is a dark-gray, dense, finely crystalline, medium bedded limestone, capped by a dark-gray, dense, finely crystalline limestone with thin silty interbeds 73
11. Limestone: dark blue-gray, dense, finely crystalline in thin to thick beds; contains approximately 5 feet of tan to orange-brown, thin bedded, silty limestone about 30 feet from the top. 148
12. Limestone: similar to #11, medium crystalline, dense with a few platy beds 191
13. Limestone: dark gray-blue; dense, finely crystalline to crypto-crystalline, somewhat silty and platy; top bed is very fossiliferous. 83
14. Limestone: basal beds are brown, dense, silty limestone overlaid by 26 feet of medium blue crystalline limestone; upper beds are not well exposed but appear to be alternating dense blue, and tan to light brown beds of silty to quartzitic limestone. 157
15. Limestone: massive tan to gray, silty limestone weathering blue-gray; has a merangue or mottled appearance on weathered surface; it grades upward to a dense blue limestone in the upper six feet . . . 23

Great Blue formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

16. Limestone: medium to dark-gray, fine to medium crystalline, dense, crinoidal limestone at the base, followed by 19 feet of coarsely crystalline crinoidal limestone of medium blue-gray color, weathering light blue-gray, overlaid by brown to gray quartzitic limestone which weathers sandy brown. 305
17. Limestone: light-gray, weathering brown, dense, fine grained, somewhat sandy and silty, beds contain no banding and are not well exposed 162
18. Limestone: lower thirty-eight feet is medium gray, weathers medium to dark gray, coarsely crystalline limestone, containing corals and crinoid stems; little sand or silt present. Above, the beds contain sandy bands and are coarsely crystalline, blue-gray to light brown weathering light brown and are medium to thick bedded, containing fragments of fossils 81
- Total Great Blue formation. 1,181.50

Manning Canyon shale

The Manning Canyon shale, named by Gilluly, (1932, p. 31-34) for Manning Canyon in the Oquirrh Mountains of central Utah, is predominantly black shale. Other clastic sediments, including quartzite, quartzose sandstone, siltstone, calcilutite, mudstone, argillaceous and blue gray clastic limestone are present. Chert and ellipsoidal concretionary claystone nodules, lined with pyrite and limonite replacements, are present in the shales.

Several attempts to measure the Manning Canyon shale at the south end of the Stansbury Mountains met with failure. A thick, poorly exposed section is represented on the east side of Johnson pass, where, beginning at Victory Canyon to the north, the formation

is a valley former in a south plunging syncline.. In Big Hollow, a partially exposed section is found; but it is, in the main, covered by valley fill.

Sadlick (1955) measured over 1300 feet of Manning Canyon in Soldiers Canyon in the Oquirrh Mountains. This measurement agrees fairly well with the measured distance between the Great Blue limestone and the Oquirrh formation in Big Hollow. Because of extensive folding, the ease with which shales may thicken and thin under stress, the lack of exposed beds, and the great amount of valley fill which could cover faults, no thickness could be obtained for this formation.

The Manning Canyon-Great Blue contact has been arbitrarily placed at the base of a dense, somewhat limonitic quartzite. Although the bed is not everywhere exposed, the presence of quartzite and the appearance of red and brown colored material with shale in the float of covered sections, indicates the presence of Manning Canyon shale.

The Manning Canyon-Oquirrh contact is not exposed, although north of this area, in East Hickman Canyon, 140 feet of black fissile shale is present at the top of the Manning Canyon shale, underlying the gray silty limestone of the Oquirrh formation. The contact can be fairly well followed in covered areas by noting the presence or lack of black shale in the float.

In the Gold Hill district (Nolan, 1935, pp. 31-33), in the Oquirrh Range (Gilluly, 1932, pp. 32-33) (Sadlick, 1955), and elsewhere, the Manning Canyon shale lies across the Mississippian-Pennsylvanian time boundary.

The following fossils were collected:

Dictyoclostus sp.
Spirifer occidentalis (?)
Diaphragmus sp.
Myalina sp.

Derbya (?)
Cleiothyridina
Composita subtilita (?)

Pennsylvanian System

Marine conditions prevailed in the area for most of Pennsylvanian time. During gradual subsidence thousands of feet of sediments were deposited. This accumulation represents by far the thickest stratigraphic unit in the Stansbury Range.

The Oquirrh formation which is in excess of 14,000 feet thick makes up all the Pennsylvanian system except for a little of the Manning Canyon shale which is Lower Pennsylvanian. Because of the cyclic nature and lensing of beds within the formation, no attempt was made to subdivide it into thinner units. Croft (1956, p. 24) subdivided the formation in the Onaqui Range into three lithologic units as follows: (1) a lower black limestone unit, (2) a middle sandy limestone unit, and (3) an upper predominantly siliceous limestone and orthoquartzite unit, corresponding to the Morrow, Atokan and Des Moines series respectively.

Apparently an unconformity exists between Johnson's Pass, where Croft described the Oquirrh sequence, and the divide between East Hickman Canyon and Big Hollow, because the black-limestone found at the base of the Oquirrh formation east of Johnson's Pass is absent in Big Hollow. The first fusulinids found, 196 feet above the Manning Canyon, indicated lower Des Moines in age so both the Morrow and Atokan are probably absent.

On the basis of fusulinids the Oquirrh as measured in sections 7, 8, 9, 10, T. 55, R. 6 W. contains approximately 3,500 feet of Des Moines age rocks, 6,500 feet of Missouri age rocks, and 4,000 feet of Virgil age rocks.

Oquirrh formation

The Oquirrh formation which is exposed on the east side of the Stansbury Range was named by Gilluly (1932, pp. 34-35) for a thick sequence of alternation^{ing} limestones and sandstones in the Oquirrh Range. Gilluly found a total thickness in excess of 14,000 feet. This formation is reported to be 26,000 feet thick in central Utah (Thompson, Verville, and Bissell, 1950, p. 430) of which 16,200 feet is referred to the Pennsylvanian and 9,280 feet to the Permian. Only beds of Pennsylvanian age were exposed in the Stansbury Range of which nearly 14,000 feet were measured. These figures indicate a thickness of the Pennsylvanian exceeding any other locality in the entire Rocky Mountain province.

The beds of the Oquirrh formation occur in cyclic fashion. The repetition of similar beds, is not due to duplication of strata by faulting or over-thrusting, but is a result of the cyclic nature of the formation. Individual beds are generally lenticular. Due to the cover and repetition of similar beds, it was impossible to subdivide the formation.

At the south end of the Stansbury Range, the Manning Canyon-Oquirrh contact is not exposed but it appears to be gradational. Where limestone becomes greatly predominant over shale the beds are assigned to the Oquirrh formation. North of the area in East Hickman Canyon over 140 feet of black fissile shale is exposed at the top of the Manning Canyon shale.

The fusuline genera mentioned in the section were kindly identified by Roy Waite, Shell Oil Company.

<u>Fusulina cf. F. rockymontana</u>	L Des Moines
<u>Fusulina</u>	Des Moines
<u>Fusulinella cf. F. alta, Millerella</u>	Des Moines
<u>Oketaella</u>	Des Moines (?)
<u>Triticites</u>	Missouri
<u>Waeringella</u>	L. Virgil

Also collected were: Juresania sp., Syringapora sp., Composita subtilita (?), Linoproductus sp.

The following section was measured from Hickman Pass to Cow Canyon in sections 7, 8, 9, and 10, T. 5 S., R. 6 W.:

<u>Quirrh formation</u>	Thickness in feet
1. Limestone and Siltstone: gradational between a dense blue to brown silty limestone and calcareous siltstone, in places is quartzitic; beyond this point the beds are covered by valley fans and alluvium	139
2. Limestone and Sandstone: medium crystalline, dense light gray-brown, very sandy limestone, alternating with a calcareous silty sandstone which is gradational with the limestone; the two show little difference.	463
3. Sandstone: basal bed is a dense, finely crystalline, brownish-black, cherty limestone; followed by 120 feet which are not exposed, overlaid by 120 feet of dense pink, silty sandstone	249
4. Covered Interval: float appears to be the same as #3.	930
5. Sandstone: basal 42 feet is alternating limestone and quartzite followed by 167 feet of calcareous pink to orange-brown silty sandstone; upper 91 feet is not exposed but appear to be similar to the beds below.	300
6. Quartzite: medium to orange-brown calcareous, dense, sandy quartzite with a few limestones; the basal bed contains fusulinids (<u>Waeringella</u>).	124
7. Conglomerate: 39 feet of conglomerate with a coarse calcareous sandy, matrix, followed by 19 feet of brown calcareous, dense, fine grained	

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

- sandstone, capped by 4.5 feet of somewhat sandy limestone pebble conglomerate. 62.5
8. Siltstone and limestone: alternating medium gray, sandy limestone and thin-bedded, calcareous, gray-brown siltstone with undulating bedding planes; weathers tan. 314
9. Limestone and sandstone: medium gray, silty limestone, alternating with medium gray to brown sandstone that weathers brown. 87
10. Siltstone: platy, calcareous, tan siltstone with a few purple siltstones and medium gray silty limestones. There is a basal fusulinid bed (Waeringella). 131
11. Quartzite: light brown, dense, sandy quartzite, weathering pink to orange-brown, little change except for an occasional limestone bed. . . . 406
12. Limestone and siltstone: basal beds are medium gray, coarsely crystalline and somewhat fossiliferous limestone overlaid by alternating platy maroon and tan siltstone, and gray brown, silty and platy limestone with a few medium bedded limestones similar to the basal beds. The top 70 feet is chiefly thin platy, maroon siltstone 250
13. Quartzite: non-calcareous, dense, orange-brown, sandy quartzite with fusulinid bed (Waeringella) indicating lower Virgilian age 110.5
14. Siltstone and limestone: a maroon plated limestone at the base with a medium gray silty limestone alternating with an orange-brown calcareous sandy siltstone grading into a sandstone near the top. 114
15. Siltstone and limestone: alternating calcareous sandy siltstone, and silty limestone; a medium to thick bedded cherty limestone at the top 109
16. Sandstone: calcareous, white to gray, tan weathering limestone, it appears pitted due to weathering-out of fusulinids 83.5
17. Limestone and quartzite: very light gray, silty limestone at the base grading into a light gray-

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

- brown calcareous quartzite, with a light gray medium crystalline limestone at the top. 63
18. Limestone: silty, light to medium gray limestone with a few beds of tan and maroon limy siltstone; beds are not well exposed. 131
19. Siltstone and limestone: beds were not well exposed but appear to be mottled, silty limestone, and limy siltstone, yellow to brown on weathered surface. 146
20. Siltstone and limestone: alternating tan to orange, limy siltstone and light gray, silty, limestone with a few calcareous sandstones at the base and fusulinid bed (Triticites) at the top. 108
21. Limestone: silty gray limestone with a few alternating bands of siltstone and 2 feet of dark blue fossiliferous limestone in the middle. 35.7
22. Sandstone: calcareous, red to orange-brown, fine grained sandstone with a few alternating silty limestones 62
23. Limestone: light gray, medium to thick bedded, fine to coarsely crystalline, silty to sandy limestone with fossils in basal bed 38.5
24. Limestone: silty, tan to gray, medium bedded limestone. 26.5
25. Quartzite and limestone: tan to pink, dense, quartzite with very sandy and cherty limestone and conglomerate at base, at the top is a light gray, sandy to silty fossiliferous limestone approximately 6 feet thick 41.5
26. Limestone: at base is a light gray, sandy limestone with a 4 to 5 foot cherty bed; upward in section is an alternating maroon and tan to brown silty limestone with a few interbedded cherty limestones 127
27. Quartzite: sandy, calcareous, tan quartzite that weathers tan to brown and grades into a sandy limestone. 78

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

28. Limestone: silty, medium bedded, orange-brown limestone, grading into sandy quartzite above. 79.5
29. Limestone and quartzite: alternating blue-black, dense finely crystalline limestone that weathers medium-gray; and calcareous, sandy gray-brown quartzite which weathers orange-brown; the upper half of this section is thin bedded silty limestone with undulating silty parting and weathering purple to brown, with fusulinid (Triticites), coral and crinoid bed at the top 268
30. Limestone and quartzite: alternating sandy limestone and calcareous white to tan quartzite; the limestone is more prominent below and the quartzite above. 176
31. Limestone: light blue-gray, dense, fine to crypto-crystalline, silty limestone, alternating with silty to sandy, tan to gray, cherty limestone beds which are gray-brown on the fresh surface. 244
32. Quartzite and limestone: alternating quartzite and silty to sandy, orange-brown weathering limestone which is a ledge-former; the top is capped by a limestone conglomerate 450
33. Quartzite and limestone: similar alternating, calcareous quartzite and silty limestone, with a fusulinid bed (Triticites) indicating Missourian in age 453
34. Quartzite and limestone: alternating calcareous sandy quartzite and silty orange-brown limestone with a bed of large fusulinids (Triticites) at the top. 336
35. Siltstone and limestone: calcareous sandy, siltstone and pinkish silty limestones lower in the section, with quartzite near the top 360
36. Limestone and siltstone: maroon to tannish brown, platy limestone to siltstone. The change of the attitude of the beds may represent a slight unconformity. 89
37. Limestone and sandstone: a light to medium gray, silty, limestone alternating with calcareous buff, fine grained, friable sandstone 75.5

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

- | | | |
|-----|---|------|
| 38. | Quartzite: similar to the two lower beds, more calcareous and red in color, becoming denser and redder in sections. | 548 |
| 39. | Quartzite: tan to orange-brown, dense, medium crystalline quartzite with a few limestones in the lower part | 163 |
| 40. | Limestone: medium gray, dense, silty limestone that weathers gray to maroon, with alternating sandstone and brown weathering quartzite toward the top | 280 |
| 41. | Siltstone and limestone: alternating buff to brown siltstone and gray to maroon, silty limestone beds containing some fossils | 140 |
| 42. | Quartzite: tan to orange-brown, dense quartzite with a few alternating beds of silty limestone and conglomerate | 250 |
| 43. | Conglomerate: quartzite cobbles of fist size with a limy matrix | 8 |
| 44. | Limestone: brown to gray, in places somewhat pinkish, weathering tan to gray-buff, silty to sandy, very fossiliferous, medium crystalline. | 74.5 |
| 45. | Quartzite: buff to tan, finely crystalline, limy, quartzite with a few alternating limestones. | 250 |
| 46. | Siltstone and limestone: alternating buff to brown thin bedded siltstones and limestones, little limestone is found in the upper 150 feet; the beds grading into sandy quartzite. | 300 |
| 47. | Quartzite and siltstone: basal 21 feet is buff to light gray-brown quartzite followed by 74 feet of maroon platy siltstone overlaid by quartzite with interbedded conglomerate. | 174 |
| 48. | Quartzite: orange-brown to reddish-brown, sandy quartzite capped by 5 feet of dense sub-lithographic blue-black limestone, (numerous prospect holes found along this bed) | 128 |
| 49. | Siltstone and limestone: maroon siltstones and silty limestones which were platy and fossiliferous, a gray-brown limestone was found at the base. | 71 |

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

- | | | |
|-----|--|-------|
| 50. | Siltstone and limestone: beds were not well exposed but appear to be shaly siltstones and silty limestones; maroon to gray in color. | 240 |
| 51. | Quartzite: orange-brown to dark-brown quartzite in the lower half; the top being a valley former was covered with a mantle and was not exposed. | 108 |
| 52. | Quartzite: grading into silty and sandy limestone. | 100.5 |
| 53. | Limestone and siltstone: at the base is a medium gray, dense, finely crystalline silty limestone with beds 3 to 4 feet thick. The middle unit is a valley-former and was not exposed, the top 50 feet is a shaly maroon thin bedded siltstone. | 112 |
| 54. | Quartzite: orange-brown, silty, and finely crystalline limy, quartzite. | 94 |
| 55. | Limestone and Sandstone: approximately 20 feet of medium gray, silty dense, finely crystalline limestone with interbedded buff to brown sandstone. | 20 |
| 56. | Sandstone: orange-brown, soft, friable sandstone with two interbedded sandy limestones of medium gray color becoming more quartzitic toward top | 72 |
| 57. | Siltstone and limestone: at base is buff to brown sandy quartzite followed by sandy siltstone, and silty limestone containing corals, crinoids and bryozoans | 79 |
| 58. | Siltstone and sandstone: maroon, limy siltstone, grading into alternating sandy siltstone and sandy quartzite. | 78.8 |
| 59. | Limestone: at base is 6 feet of blue-gray, dense cherty limestone containing large fusulinids (<i>Oketaella</i>), overlaid by dense, brown, limy quartzite. | 92.5 |
| 60. | Limestone and siltstone: platy, maroon, silty limestone and siltstone, containing fossils, not well preserved | 117.5 |

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

- | | | |
|-----|--|-------|
| 61. | Quartzite: silty, platy, maroon-gray limestone weathering buff to brown, containing many bryozoans and crinoid stems, grading upwards into a sandstone which again grades into a quartzite | 219 |
| 62. | Limestone: blue-gray, dense, finely crystalline limestone, containing a few fusulinids alternating with chert and sandstone beds. | 63.0 |
| 63. | Quartzite: grading from a limy siltstone at base into a dense gray-brown quartzite that weathers orange-brown becoming sandy and friable toward the top | 131.5 |
| 64. | Limestone: pinkish, platy and silty limestone which is a valley-former and not well-exposed. | 95.5 |
| 65. | Sandstone and quartzite: similar to #66 being sandy quartzite and sandstone, reddish-brown in color with a few interbedded conglomerates. | 264 |
| 66. | Quartzite and sandstone: limy and silty quartzite grading into a silty limestone. The blue-black dense lithographic limestone is 5 to 6 feet thick | 244 |
| 67. | Limestone: medium gray, dense, massive beds containing numerous crinoid stems (encrinodal) | 35 |
| 68. | Siltstone and sandstone: the lower 200 feet is quite silty while the next 600 feet is composed of sandstones and quartzites. The lower part of this is reddish-brown sandstone while the top is a buff-limy quartzite containing (<u>Fusulina</u>) | 800 |
| 69. | Limestone: cherty and sandy limestone, some quartzite but more lime with terrace at top. | 200 |
| 70. | Siltstone, limestone and sandstone: slope former, not well exposed | 200 |
| 71. | Siltstone and sandstone: alternating siltstones and sandstones with occasional sandy limestone; the beds are not well exposed; at the top is a limestone bed which forms a terrace around the hillside | 197 |

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

72. Limestone: 64 feet of pinkish platy, silty, limestone, overlaid by 10 feet of tan to buff limestone, followed by a similar sandy to silty, pinkish limestone. Samples were taken at 136 feet; above, the beds are more sandy, overlaid by 7 feet of blue-black, cherty limestone, medium to coarse crystalline. 172
73. Limestone: purple-gray platy and silty limestone, weathers light pinkish gray in lower 43 feet; contains brachiopod fragments and fusulinids; (Fusulinella cf. F. alta, Millerella), next 28 feet is similar with thicker beds, better exposed, containing a few chert blobs. Above, the beds are siltier and more cherty, weathered tan to brown, medium gray on fresh surface. At 84 feet from base is a very dense, light-gray and finely crystalline fossiliferous limestone 4 to 5 feet in thickness in which a trilobite was found. Above, the beds become increasingly sandier, the upper 18 feet is a dark cherty limestone with considerable brachiopods and bryozoans at the top. 146
74. Limestone: lower half is silty, blue-gray in color; thin bedded alternating with bands of sand or chert in the upper portion, the lower $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet is a coarse grained coquina limestone. At top the beds are more massive ledge-formers. 81
75. Limestone: base is a fusulinid bed (Fusulina) overlaid with 20 feet of gray-black limestone. Beds are 2 to 3 feet thick with occasional chert stringers. Above this, the beds are not well exposed but the float indicates it is much sandier and siltier. 85.5
76. Limestone: $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet of white to tan, dense, finely crystalline limestone that is light-brownish-gray on fresh surface, bed does not seem to carry out to the south; above the tan bed is $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet of blue-black finely crystalline, dense limestone that weathers blue-gray; this in turn is overlaid by a light gray-brown, sandy limestone, with sandy and cherty stringers standing in relief on weathered surface, giving a banding appearance. The fossils indicated Des Moines age 18
77. Limestone: dark blue-gray, at base is almost all fusulinids (Fusulina cf. F. rockymontana) that indicate Des Moines in age; dense and finely crystalline

Oquirrh formation-cont.Thickness
in feet

	with a fetid odor. There are many corals found in the lower 25 feet; it is good limestone with a few cherty stringers at the top.	62.5
78.	Limestone: light to medium-gray, silty to sandy, weathers tan to brown; part of the surface is covered with a brown chert; the beds becoming more dense, then cherty toward the top; the main fossils seem to be crinoid stems and bryozoans.	15
79.	Covered Interval: samples of sandy limestone containing corals were noted in the float, but no outcrops were present in the lower 180 feet.	181
	Total Oquirrh formation.	13,971.50
	Total Paleozoic, not including Manning Canyon shale or Tintic quartzite.	24,075.8

The Quaternary System

Quaternary deposits consist of landslides, fangravels, Lake Bonneville sediments, glacial and creep deposits, alluvium and sand dunes all unconformably overlying the older, uplifted Paleozoic sequence. Igneous rocks are absent, although pyroclastics appear a few miles to the north and south of the area.

Landslide deposits

Landslide deposits are in evidence west and south of Rock Springs on the west side of the Range. The beds, mostly of the Mississippian Pine Canyon limestone, and the Madison limestone, are mostly intact and lie unconformably on beds of Silurian and Ordovician age. The source of the landslide block appears to be the steep western escarpment of the Stansbury Range. The writer believes the landslide block

is a collapse structure, which was the result of removal of the west limb of the anticline by thrusting followed by a period of erosion and undercutting.

Pre-Lake Bonneville fan-gravels

Pleistocene fans extend apron-like from the base of the western escarpment and in the piedmont on the east side of the range. The fans are unconformably overlain by lacustrine sediments and are indented by wave cut terraces, and are thus of pre-Lake Bonneville age. The fan-gravel is composed of poorly sorted debris, and appears to be derived mainly from the uplifted Paleozoic sequence in the adjoining mountains. The material appears to be mainly unconsolidated, but in deeper canyons which bisect the fans, the material is seen to be weakly cemented in places.

Lake Bonneville Beds

Lake Bonneville touched only the southwest corner of the area mapped. For the most part the flooding by the lake waters resulted in the reworking of gravels previously deposited in the interconnecting fans extending out from the canyons on the west side of the range. Of particular interest are the numerous terraces which can be noted west of the area mapped, as one approaches Dry Canyon by way of the Indian Reservation. Six well-defined terraces can be seen to the north and eight to the south.

Creep and Glacial debris

Glaciation has affected several canyons within the Stansbury

Range (Lambert 1941). In Dry Canyon and East Hickman Canyon creep or mud flows have erased much of the evidence of glaciation. The only cirque in the area is seen east of Victory Peak, on the divide between Big Hollow and East Hickman Canyon. The glacial debris which is made up of limestone boulders from the Great Blue formation, extends only a short distance beyond the cirque, covering the Manning Canyon shale which forms the divide between Big Hollow and East Hickman Canyon. The absence of cirques which are abundant in the canyons to the north is probably due to lower resistance of the limestone beds which form the ridge crest in the area mapped while the Tintic quartzite is the ridge former to the north.

The creep deposits very much resemble glacial deposits, the topography being very hummocky and marked by pot holes. The absence of cirques and the presence of large scars on the hillside above the material in the creep seem to prove the manner of origin.

Recent

Sand Dunes

Sand dunes cover most of the southwest corner of the area, unconformably overlying the Lake Bonneville sediments. They are obviously post-Lake Bonneville or Recent. The dunes are restricted to the vicinity of Johnson Pass, not being found north of Deadman Canyon. The material in the dunes consists of fine quartz sand blown in from the desert to the west.

Alluvium

Numerous alluvial fans are present within the various canyons

throughout the area indicating Recent origin. Stream beds are veneered with recent gravel, sand, silt, and clay.

STRUCTURE

General Setting

The origin of the Basin and Range type of structure of Utah and Nevada has been discussed in a large number of reports. Gilbert (1874) regarded the ranges as faulted monoclines in which the strata on one side of the faults have been lifted. Anticlines being rare except as local subsidiary features, King (1878) modified this view in which he emphasizes that compression first took place forming a region of anticlines and synclines and that vertical faulting was superimposed on early anticlinal folds.

Dutton (1880) while not denying the theory of flexing and later faulting, was not convinced that the present relief of the ranges is in any way associated with the folding. He states that the present mountains are uplifted fault blocks of a previously developed featureless platform, and that the present relief has been carved by erosion.

Up to this point each new theory was harmonious, in that each new idea was an amplification of the preceding, but with Spurr (1901) harmony was ended and has not been restored. He advanced the challenging idea that the Basin Ranges are primarily the result of erosion, and that faulting was only local and that the effect of faulting was of short duration. Davis (1903-1905) presented a concept of erosion and described the physiographic features present in the Basin

Ranges. Keys (1908) advanced the theory of desert leveling, stating that Basin and Range are largely remnantal, their prominence resulting from differential degradation, with the wind as the chief erosive agent. The ranges exist because their rocks are harder than those of the valleys between. He claims the steep fronts are not necessarily the result of faulting, but could have resulted from wind action.

Baker (1913) suggests that the Basin Ranges are really mountains of tangential compression with considerable overthrusting and reverse faulting. In addition, he reports warping into anticlines and synclines, faulting forming grabens, and, in still more numerous cases, tilted blocks bounded on one side by fault scarps.

Ferguson (1924, 1926) recognized at least four stages of faulting in west central Nevada. This was the first statement that the blocks were not all essentially contemporaneous. Since 1928 there has been considerable work done in various parts of the Great Basin which has contributed to the understanding the different features of block faulting. Eardley (1951, p. 477) uses four types of evidence to show that individual ranges in the Great Basin are bordered by block faulting: "physiographic evidence, stratigraphic evidence, exposures of fault plane, and presence of recent fault scarps along the range fronts." Eardley (p. 481) refers to five periods of basin-range faulting and considers the part of folding and thrusting in the regional structure.

Stansbury Range

It is impossible to explain the structure of the Stansbury

Range by any of the early theories alone. It is evident that intensive folding followed by both thrust and normal faulting, together with erosion have all played a part in bringing about the present appearance of the range.

Folds

Deseret Anticline: The major structure within the Stansbury Range is the Deseret Anticline, which was described by Arnold (1956, p. 49) from evidence in the Timpie area and found by the writer to continue throughout the length of the range. At the south end of the range the axis of the anticline strikes N 12° W and the nose plunges 20 degrees to the south. The limbs, where both are present, are nearly symmetrical, but the west limb has been removed by faulting south of Spring Creek leaving only the gentle east-dipping strata of the east limb. In the vicinity of Dry Canyon the limbs of the anticline appear to be dipping at approximately 50 degrees, while to the south the beds on the flanks of the fold rarely exceed 35 degrees.

The Deseret Anticline was well as being unusually long, was undoubtedly at one time a very broad structure. In the vicinity of Dry Canyon, where the core of the anticline is exposed in the resistant beds of the Tintic quartzite, it is 8 miles from the axis of the anticline on the west, to the point where the east limb disappears beneath the valley alluvium. This represents also an exposure of over 25,000 feet of strata.

Beyond the nose of the Deseret Anticline, where the resistant beds of the Tintic quartzite plunge under the younger Cambrian beds,

minor folding is much more in evidence. Several minor subparallel folds extend southward toward Johnson Pass. Croft (1956, p. 28) refers to the folding south of Johnson Pass as the "Onaque Fold System." Folds of this system have the same general trend as the anticline and are south-plunging being flexures of the beds on the limb of the anticline. Two flexures are worthy of mention, and discussion of these will follow.

Clover Syncline: The Clover syncline described by Croft (1956) is the dominate structural feature of the southeastern part of the area, extending from Victory Canyon south of Johnson Pass where it plunges and disappears beneath the alluvium. This fold is asymmetrical, the strata on the west flank between Johnson Pass and Victory Canyon being sharply overturned. In Johnson Pass the axis is displaced by a series of steeply dipping parallel faults which trend northeast. The Great Blue limestone is exposed on the limbs of the syncline, with Manning Canyon shale exposed in the trough north of Johnson Pass and the Oquirrh formation south.

Deadman Anticline: The name Deadman Anticline is proposed by the writer for the structure cut by the drainage from Deadman Canyon. This structure, which is an outlyer west of Condie Meadows, is separated by over a mile of fangravels from the nearest exposure east of the Stansbury Fault. This structure, expressed on the surface in strata of the Great Blue formation, is asymmetrical, the east limb dipping somewhat steeper. The anticline strikes N 37° W, and at the south the nose is found to plunge at 18 degrees to the south. The structure, a little over 1 mile in length, is cut off on the north by Spring Creek and the alluvial fans which extend out from the west side of the range.

The relationship of this structure to the Deseret Anticline could not be determined. The lithology varies somewhat from that of the Great Blue limestone in the Stansbury Range, and it appears impossible to project the strata to coincide with that of the Stansbury Range. This writer believes that this structure has been thrust in from the west by the same action that removed the west limb of the Deseret Anticline, (see Orogenic History) thus accounting for the change in lithology and the steepness of the east limb..

Unconformities

Various minor breaks and disconformities mentioned in descriptions of neighboring areas appear to be present in the stratigraphic sequence of the Stansbury Range. Brief mention will be made of these regional disconformities, but primary attention is given to the important local unconformities found in the Stansbury Range.

Pre-Upper-Ordovician unconformity

The lowermost important disconformity is at the base of the Fish Haven dolomite. The Fish Haven appears to conformably overlies the Kanosh shale, which is equivalent to the Lower Swan Peak formation. Although no angular discordance was noted, the absence of Middle Ordovician beds within the Stansbury Range is in accord with written descriptions by Hintze (1951), Nolan (1935), and others in which the absence of Eureka quartzite and Swan Peak quartzite at Tintic, Gold Hill, Lakeside, and in the Stansbury Range seems to indicate a hiatus in sedimentation or an erosional unconformity.

Cohenour (1957 Fig. 5) has prepared an isopach map showing a salient projecting west from the Oquirrh Range through the south and central parts of the Stansbury Range during middle Ordovician time, for which he has proposed the name "Stansbury Salient." Non-deposition or erosion in this area would account for the absence of the quartzite beds of the Upper Swan Peak formation and Eureka quartzite which are found to the south (Cohenour 1957) and to the north-west (Paddock 56) of the Stansbury Range.

Pre-Mississippian unconformity

Late Devonian was marked by local uplift which resulted in the deposition of great thickness of conglomerate, especially in the northern part of the Stansbury Range. In the central part of the range, Mississippian beds rest unconformably on Cambrian indicating considerable erosion. On the north-side of Dry Canyon, Mississippian beds rest on the Garden City formation, whereas on the south-side of Dry Canyon the Kanosh shale and Fish Haven dolomite are present. Proceeding to the south, between Dry Canyon and Deadman Canyon, beds of the Laketown dolomite, Sevy dolomite, Simonson dolomite and Stansbury conglomerate make their appearance beneath the unconformity. Arnold (1956) reports a similar discordance at the northern end of the range with successively older beds disappearing to the south. This unconformity appears to be the result of uplift along an east-west element extending to the west from the Uinta Mountains, previously referred to as the Stansbury Salient.

Post-Madison unconformity

A distinct angular unconformity occurs locally between the

Madison limestone and the Pine Canyon limestone in the Stansbury Range. A maximum discordance of 25° was measured east of Rock Springs. The unconformity does not appear to be everywhere present; the beds above and below the contact follow nearly the same trend and except near the contact, appear parallel. The lower-most beds of the Pine Canyon are hashy with breccia found several places above the basal beds. The discordance may be the result of folding and bedding plane slipping or thrusting, affecting only the upper beds of the thin bedded Madison limestone. This disturbance resulted possibly from a shift of the zone of subsidence from the Madison basin, east of the Stansbury Range, to the Brazer Basin to the west. Reid (1954) reports the Madison formation of the Wasatch Plateau to be Kinderhookian and Osagian age with no angular discordance known at the base, but reports an erosional unconformity (disconformity) above, stating "a post-Osagian erosional interval influenced the upper portion of the Madison formation."

The lower cherty beds of the Pine Canyon are considered as Madison by Gilluly (1932) and Morris (1957), but because of the discordance mentioned and the change in lithology this writer feels justified in separating the units as described.

Pre-Des Moines

In the Stansbury Range an unconformity is present in the Lower Pennsylvanian beds. The Manning Canyon-Oquirrh contact is not exposed, but beds of Morrow and Atokan age which are present in exposures of the Clover Syncline on the east side of Johnson Pass, are

absent four miles to the north at Hickman Pass. Here beds of Des Moines age overlie the Manning Canyon shale which is considered to be Chester and Springer in age. Croft (1956) reports 85-120 feet of Morrawan rocks in the Clover syncline. This unit is typically a dark gray to black, fine crystalline limestone. Croft also measured 1596 feet of Atokan rocks in the vicinity. At Hickman pass this author found Fusulina cf. F. rockymontana 196 feet above the Manning Canyon shale indicating a considerable hiatus to the north. The dip of the beds of the Manning Canyon and Oquirrh formations appear to be nearly the same, but the strike of the beds of the Oquirrh makes an angle with the Manning Canyon shale of approximately 30 degrees. This unconformity indicates uplift within the central part of the Stansbury Range, again in line with the east-west element extending from the ancient Uinta Mountains.

In the Gold Hill district (Nolan 1935, pp. 33-36) the genus Fusulina occurs immediately above the Manning Canyon shale. The genus Fusulina according to Thomas, Verville, and Bissell (1950) occurs in rocks of Des Moines age, thus indicating a similar unconformity between the basal beds of the Oquirrh and the Manning Canyon in the Gold Hill area.

Paddock (1956) reports several irregular contacts occurring within the Simonson and Laketown dolomites of the Newfoundland Mountains. In the Stansbury Range the dolomite formation of Upper Ordovician, Silurian and Devonian have been extremely shattered, altered and repeated by faulting. Several disturbances probably affected these formations in the Stansbury Range, but these could not be identified with certainty.

Orogenic History

It is impossible to correlate the various phases of the Laramide and Cedar Hills orogenies as described or reviewed in adjacent areas with specific structures or events in the Stansbury Range. The deep erosion and absence of Cretaceous and Tertiary conglomerates would indicate that this area was relatively high in late Cretaceous and early Tertiary and consequently was part of the source area of the conglomerates of the Indianola, Price River and North Horn formations of central Utah.

Laramide Orogeny

No structures in the mapped area could be correlated with the Cedar Hills orogeny, but the area was probably involved in the Cottonwood uplift which, according to Eardley (1951, p. 328), was an E-W compressional movement during the first stage of the Laramide orogeny (probable early Montana time). During the second stage, middle and late Montana time, the Price River conglomerate was deposited in central Utah. The second stage was an interval of strong folding and thrusting. This stage probably gave rise to the Sheeprock overthrust which this writer believes carried north through the Onaqui Range into the Southern Stansbury Range. Due to east-west compressional stresses the beds were folded and the west limb of the Desert Anticline was thrust over the younger less resistant beds of the Manning Canyon shale in the vicinity of Johnson's Pass, where these shale beds formed the nose of the anticline. The attitude of the faults and the direction of movement of the thrust indicates that the compressional forces were directed from west and north-west.

Thrusting occurred mainly south of the point where resistant beds of the Tintic quartzite outcrop in the nose of the Deseret Anticline. On the west side of the anticline the beds of the Ophir group are missing, indicating a reverse movement in which the younger Cambrian limestone beds have moved up onto the Tintic quartzite cutting out the shale beds of the Ophir group.

Following the thrusting, the compressive force being released, normal faults were formed. These faults show a northwest trend, strike $N 35^{\circ} - 40^{\circ} W.$, and dip approximately 70° to the west and in places show as much as 1000 to 2000 feet of displacement. A long period of erosion is thought to have ensued, during which period the anticline was deeply eroded. Landsliding undoubtedly occurred in which the more competent beds on the east limb of the fold slid westward over the eroded surface. The beds of the landslide, which remained intact, are Mississippian age and rest unconformably on older beds.

A number of east-west transverse faults cut the range. Along these faults, numerous gossans and calcite mineralization appears, but as a whole show very little displacement.

Basin Range Orogeny

The last tectonic stage recognized in the area is the development of the Stansbury fault which is a recent normal fault extending along the west side of the Onaqui and Stansbury Range for approximately 30 miles. The displacement is probably of considerable magnitude though nowhere measureable. The range rises abruptly from the waste filled valleys and gravel fans which extend to the west from the escarpment.

ECONOMIC PRODUCTS

Mine Prospects

Numerous prospect pits are found at the south end of the Stansbury Range. They are generally located along faults or folds where the bedrock is brecciated or slightly mineralized. The Ahlstorm mine drift, west of Devils Gate in section 26 T. 5 S., R. 7 W., extends for several hundred feet in a N-NE direction along what appears to be the brecciated zone in the Laketown dolomite. To the knowledge of the author, no ore has been shipped from the district, though according to reports of residents in the area, assays of samples would indicate economic value, if ore were obtainable in large enough quantities.

Quarrying operations of economic importance, in the Great Blue formation and Fish Haven dolomite, are carried on at Flux and Dolomite at the north end of the range. The distance to the railroad would probably make mining of these beds unprofitable at the south end.

Sand and Gravel

Sand and gravel, obtained from alluvial fans, have been used for the paving of the various highways in the area though the material appears to be of inferior quality.

Timber

Trees of sufficient quality and quantity to supply a lumbering operation are obtained from Dry Canyon on the west side of the range. A distance of 60 miles to the mill in Tooele hardly makes this a profitable enterprise.

Watershed

The Stansbury Mountains comprise an important watershed which drains into Skull and Rush Valleys. Many springs rise from the alluvial fans which skirt the range and several perennial streams supply water for agricultural industries. At the southern end of the Stansbury Range these streams include: Indian Hickman, and Condie Creeks which drain into Skull Valley on the west side of the range, and East Hickman and Clover Creeks which drain into Rush Valley on the east side of the range.

Clover Creek, the most prominent stream in the area, issues along the Great Blue-Manning Canyon contact. According to Carpenter (1913) the creek discharges 14 second-feet in the spring and $3\frac{1}{2}$ second-feet in the fall of the year. The water is used at Clover and St. John to irrigate approximately 600 acres.

The water from Condie Creek has been diverted by the proprietors of the Hatch Ranch and is piped several miles to the south to supplement irrigation as well as to add considerable acreage to the Hatch Ranch which lies immediately to the south of Utah Highway 58 in Skull Valley.

A number of springs have been developed for livestock watering, the location of most of these springs appears to be controlled either by the Stansbury Fault or beds of the Manning Canyon shale. On the west side of the range the springs rise from the shallow fans below the western escarpment of the Stansbury Fault. On the east side of the range various springs rise from the Manning Canyon shale in Big Hollow and in East Hickman Canyon, several having been piped into throughs for stock watering.

The Stansbury Range, being over 10,000 feet in elevation, receives considerable snowfall which persists into July. Considerable moisture is lost in the valley alluvium, in places reappearing as springs along the western escarpment. The spring water is soon lost in the gravel that comprises the fans which extend out from the range.

A few small wells have been drilled in Skull Valley which recover some of the escaping water. This writer believes that a large underground reservoir exists and that considerable more acreage could be brought into production by tapping this underground source.



Fig. 5 West side of the Stansbury Range as viewed from Skull Valley. Ridge crest is the Great Blue formation. The lower treeless patch on the left side (north), is Garden City formation. The highest point is Victory Peak, 10,300 in elevation.



Fig. 6 Lake Bonneville terraces on the west flanks of the Stansbury Range and north of Dry Canyon. Six well defined terraces were observed looking north and eight looking south from the Dry Canyon road. View is due north.




Fig. 7 Chert banding found in the beds of the lower Pine Canyon formation.



Fig. 8 Pine Canyon formation forming a treeless slope with the Humbug formation above, and the Madison limestone below. The lower resistant beds are the cherty beds at the base of the Pine Canyon formation. View is due south with the Onaqui Range in the background.



Fig. 9 Kanosh shale forming the treeless saddles with the Garden City formation on the right and with Fish Haven and Laketown dolomite on the left. View is from Dry Canyon looking south. Evidence of stream capture is seen on the right. Onaqui Range in the left background.




Fig. 10 Tintic quartzite outcrop looking north in Dry Canyon. Beds across canyon are nearly horizontal, forming the axis of the Deseret Anticline.



Fig. 11 Stansbury conglomerate as observed at the south end of the Stansbury Range. Many pebbles are aligned parallel to the bedding.



Fig. 12 Sand deposits covering the fans extending westward from the west side of Stansbury range. View is to the north-west from the south end. Small knoll in upper right hand corner is south end of Deadman Anticline.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Arnold, D. E. (1955) Geology of the Northern Stansbury Range, Tooele County, Utah, Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Utah.
- Baker, C. L. (1913) The Nature of Later Deformation in Central Ranges of the Great Basin, Journal of Geology 21.
- Cohenour, Robert E. (1957) Geology of the Sheeprock Mountains, Tooele and Juab Counties, Utah, Unpublished Doctoral thesis, University of Utah.
- Croft, Mack G. (1956) Geology of the Northern Onaqui Mountains, Tooele County, Utah, Unpublished Master's thesis, Brigham Young University.
- Eardley, A. J. (1951) Structural Geology of North America, Harper and Bros.
- Gilbert, G. K. (1890) Lake Bonneville, U. S. Geol. Survey Monograph 1.
- Gilluly, James (1932) Geology and Ore Deposits of the Stockton and Fairfield Quadrangles, Utah, U. S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 173.
- Granger, A. E. and Sharp, B. J. (1952) Geology of the Wasatch Mountains East of Salt Lake City, Guidebook to the Geology of Utah, no. 8.
- Hintze, Lehi F. (1951) Lower Ordovician Detailed Stratigraphic Sections for Western Utah, Bull. 39, Utah Geol. and Min. Survey.
- King, Clarence (1878) U. S. Geological Exploration of the Fortieth Parallel, vols. 1 and 2.
- Lambert, Hubert C. (1941) Structure and Stratigraphy in the Southern Stansbury Mountains, Tooele County, Utah, Thesis, University of Utah.
- Lindgren, W. and Loughlin, C. F. (1919) Geology and Ore Deposits of the Tintic Mining District, Utah, U. S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 107.
- Morris, Hal T. (1957) General Geology of the East Tintic Mountains, Utah, Guide Book to the Geology of Utah, no. 12, pp. 1-56.
- Nolan, T. B. (1935) The Gold Hill Mining District, Utah, Prof. Paper 177.

- Paddock, R. E. (1951) Geology of the Newfoundland Mountains, Box Elder County, Utah, Thesis, University of Utah.
- Reid, J. W. (1954) The Structure and Stratigraphic History of the Carboniferous (Mississippian and Pennsylvanian) of the Wasatch Plateau and Environs, Guidebook to the Geol. of Utah, no. 5, Intermountain Assoc. Petrol. Geol. pp. 18-20.
- Richardson, G. B. (1913) The Paleozoic Section in Northern Utah, Am. Jour. Sci., 4th series, vol. 36, pp. 406-415.
- Ross, R. J. Jr. (1949) Stratigraphy and Trilobite Faunal Zones of the Garden City Formation, Northeastern Utah, Am. Jour. Sci., vol. 247, pp. 472-492.
- Sadlick, Walter (1955) The Mississippian-Pennsylvanian Boundary In Northeastern Utah, Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Utah.
- Stokes, William Lee (1952) Paleozoic Positive Area in Northern Utah, Geol. Soc. America, Bull., Dec. p. 1300.
- Thompson, M. L., Verville, G. L., and Bissell, H. J. (1950) Pennsylvanian Fusulinids of the South-Central Wasatch Mountains, Utah, Jour. Paleontology, vol. 24, pp. 430-465.
- Tower, C. W. and Smith, C. O. (1899) Geology and Mining Industry of Tintic District, Utah, U. S. Geol. Survey 19th Ann. Rept. pp. 601-767.
- Webb, G. W. (1949) Stratigraphy of the Ordovician Quartzites of the Great Basin, Thesis, Columbia University, New York.
- Wheeler, George M. (1875) U. S. Geographical and Geological Survey West of the 100th Meridian, vol. 3.
- Young, John C. (1953) Geology of the Southern Lakeside Mountains, Utah, Thesis, University of Utah.