

ASPHALT RIDGE TAR SANDS -  
FLOTATION BEHAVIOR AND  
PROCESS DESIGN

by  
Royce Jay Smith

A thesis submitted to the faculty of The  
University of Utah in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of

Master of Science  
in  
Metallurgy

Department of Metallurgy and Metallurgical Engineering

The University of Utah

December 1980

## ABSTRACT

Tar sand deposits in Utah represent more than 25 billion barrels of in-place bitumen, 96% of the known U.S. reserves. Although dwarfed by the size of the well known Canadian tar sand deposits, the Utah tar sands may provide a new domestic source of synthetic crude oil.

The hot water process for Utah tar sands differs significantly from the commercially practiced hot water process for Athabasca (Canadian) tar sands. The process strategy differences reflect the inherent differences in the respective bitumen physical and chemical characteristics and the nature of the bitumen-sand association. In a six year research program the criteria have been identified that are necessary to achieve satisfactory phase disengagement in digestion. The focus of this report is to present findings on the conditions which achieve the best phase separation of bitumen from sand in the flotation of Asphalt Ridge tar sand following digestion.

Although contact angle measurements of feed material and pure bitumen indicated slight and moderate hydrophobicity respectively, air bubble attachment to a bitumen concentrate was not possible. This surprising result suggests that the flotation separation is dependent on air bubble entrapment rather than on attachment due to surface hydrophobicity. The occlusion of air bubbles in the bitumen was apparent from the visual examination of the nature and behavior of the concentrate.

A factorial design of the major operating variables in the flotation separation indicates that the quality of phase separation is significantly dependent on flotation temperature and to a lesser extent on the degree of agitation. Under the best flotation condition recoveries of 96% and concentrate grades of 60% bitumen were realized. The improved separation at higher flotation temperatures is due to the decreased bitumen viscosity resulting in more effective rejection of coarse sand from the bitumen.

The addition of a small amount of sodium carbonate in flotation is needed to prevent the concentrate from being excessively sticky. The small addition also slightly improves the quality of separation. At sodium carbonate additions greater than 0.075M the system develops a voluminous unmanageable froth.

Diluent additions to flotation did not increase the quality of separation or appear to alter the hydrophobicity of the bitumen surface.

Study of the percent solids in flotation was not conclusive but indicated that reasonable separations can be obtained at up to 40 percent solids.

Preliminary settling tests resulted in the development of a flocculant addition schedule which gives rapid settling and a clear supernatant. Water recycling tests using water from which the suspended solids were removed by polymeric flocculants did not show any detrimental effects due to residual polymers and/or soluble organics. Results from liquid-solid separation tests and water recycling are preliminary in nature.

Finally, based on the experience and results compiled over the life of this research program a flowsheet is proposed for the pilot plant production of 2,000 barrels per day of synthetic crude oil. The preliminary costs estimate indicates a total capital expenditure of \$5,228,500  $\pm$  30 percent would be required for the hot water processing portion of such a plant.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT. . . . .	iv
LIST OF TABLES. . . . .	x
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	xii
CHAPTER I.	
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Historical Perspectives . . . . .	1
Major Tar Sand Deposits of the World. . . . .	2
Separation Technology . . . . .	5
In situ processes. . . . .	10
Diluent methods . . . . .	10
Hot water and steam methods . . . . .	10
Combustion processes. . . . .	11
Above ground processing. . . . .	11
Thermal process . . . . .	12
Solvent process . . . . .	12
Cold water process. . . . .	13
Hot water process . . . . .	13
CHAPTER II.	
REVIEW OF THE HOT WATER PROCESSES. . . . .	15
Tar Sand Properties . . . . .	15
Hot Water Process Fundamentals. . . . .	20
Hot Water Process for Athabasca Tar Sands . . . . .	22
Hot Water Process for Utah Tar Sands. . . . .	24
Hot water flowsheet. . . . .	25
Previous investigations. . . . .	27
CHAPTER III.	
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES. . . . .	30
Contact Angle Measurements. . . . .	30

	<u>Page</u>
Compositional Analysis . . . . .	31
Particle Size Analysis . . . . .	33
Hot Water Process Tests. . . . .	33
Sample history. . . . .	33
Separation test . . . . .	34
Liquid-Solid Separation Tests. . . . .	39
Flocculant preparation. . . . .	39
Settling tests. . . . .	40
Water Recycling Test . . . . .	41
 CHAPTER IV.	
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF FLOTATION EXPERIMENTS . . . . .	42
Flotation Variables. . . . .	42
Definition of the Objective Function . . . . .	44
Experimental Designs . . . . .	48
Hypothesis Testing . . . . .	49
Summary. . . . .	53
 CHAPTER V.	
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . . . . .	55
Contact Angle Measurements . . . . .	55
Hot Water Processing Experiments . . . . .	58
Digestion . . . . .	58
Flotation . . . . .	60
Factorial design . . . . .	63
Hypothesis testing . . . . .	65
Effect of temperature . . . . .	67
Concentrate particle size . . . . .	71
Effect of carbonate concentration . . . . .	73
Percent solids in flotation . . . . .	78
Effect of diluent additions . . . . .	81
Liquid-Solid Separation of Flotation Tailings. . . . .	83
Water Recycling. . . . .	91
Summary. . . . .	93
 CHAPTER VI.	
PROCESS DESIGN AND COST ESTIMATE. . . . .	98
Proposed Flowsheet . . . . .	98

	<u>Page</u>
Process Problems . . . . .	106
Cost Estimate. . . . .	107
Equipment costs . . . . .	107
Total capital investment. . . . .	108
Recommendations for Future Research. . . . .	109
REFERENCES . . . . .	111
APPENDIX A. X-RAY DIFFRACTION PATTERNS. . . . .	116
APPENDIX B. HOT WATER PROCESS TESTS . . . . .	119
APPENDIX C. PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSES. . . . .	171
APPENDIX D. LIQUID-SOLID SEPARATION TESTS . . . . .	177
APPENDIX E. EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTIONS. . . . .	182
VITA . . . . .	186