# *Genetic Structure of the Utah Mormons: Comparison of Results Based on RFLPs, Blood Groups, Migration Matrices, Isonymy, and Pedigrees*

ELIZABETH O'BRIEN, ALAN R. ROGERS, JUDY BEESLEY,  $3$  and lynn b. JORDE<sup>1</sup>

*Abstract* The genetic structure of the Utah Mormon population is examined using 25 blood group and 47 RFLP alleles obtained from 442 subjects living in 8 geographic subdivisions. Nei's  $G_{ST}$  was 0.013 ( $p < 0.002$ ) for the RFLP data and 0.012 ( $p > 0.4$ ) for the blood group data, showing that only  $1\%$  of the genetic variance in this population can be attributed to subdivision effects. A comparison of intersubdivision distance matrices based on blood groups, RFLPs, migration matrices, isonymy, and pedigrees shows that genetic distances have relatively low and nonsignificant correlations with the other three types of data. However, the correlations based on RFLPs are considerably higher than those based on blood groups. Relationship matrices based on interindividual allele sharing were compared with known genealogical kinship coefficients between each pair of individuals. The correlation between the blood group and RFLP relationship matrices was small but marginally significant using the Mantel test  $(r = 0.014, p < 0.06)$ . The RFLP relationship matrix correlated more highly with genealogical kinship than did the blood group relationship matrix ( $r = 0.023$ ,  $p < 0.0001$  and  $r =$ 0.012,  $p < 0.001$ , respectively). These correlations increased by approximately one order of magnitude when pairs of subjects having zero kinship coefficients were excluded. These results show that genetic distances derived from RFLPs correlate more strongly with other types of kinship than do distances based on blood groups. This probably reflects the fact that RFLPs are more neutral, have frequencies that are more accurately estimated, and contain more information about DNA sequence variation.

**The genetic structure of human populations can be estimated from several different types of data, including migration matrices, isonymy, pedigrees, anthropometries, and gene frequencies. The use of each type of data involves certain assumptions, advantages, and disadvantages. Much**

*Human Biology*, October 1994, v. 66, no. 5, pp. 743-759. Copyright © 1994 Wayne State University Press, Detroit, Michigan 48202

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Department of Human Genetics, Eccles Institute of Human Genetics, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Anthropology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Blood Bank, Latter-Day Saints Hospital, Salt Lake City, UT 84103.

**can be learned about the effects of these assumptions and the relative merits of each data type by comparing their results in the same population. Because DNA polymorphisms are now an important source of information about human evolution (Balazs et al. 1992; Bowcock et al. 1991; Cann et al. 1987; Deka et al. 1991; Edwards et al. 1992; Harpending et al. 1993; Horai et al. 1993; Kidd et al. 1991; Martinson et al. 1993; Stoneking et al. 1990; Torroni et al. 1990), it is particularly relevant to establish their utility for estimating genetic structure by comparing them with other types of data.**

**The Utah Mormon population has been the subject of genetic structure studies based on migration matrices (Jorde 1982), isonymy (Jorde** and Morgan 1987), pedigrees (Jorde 1989), and gene frequencies esti**mated from blood groups and protein electrophoresis (McLellan et al. 1984). These studies have shown that the Utah Mormon population has a low inbreeding rate and is genetically quite homogeneous. Gene frequency analyses have demonstrated that the Utah Mormon population is genetically similar to the northern European populations from which it was derived, with little indication of genetic drift. A recent study re**vealed no evidence of a founder effect (O'Brien, Kerber et al. 1994). **These results are all consistent with the demographic history of the population, which was founded in the mid-nineteenth century by over 100,000 individuals, most of whom were derived from northern Europe. High ^ birth rates and a high rate of immigration contributed to rapid growth** and a high degree of population mobility.

**Given the extent of previous studies, the Utah Mormon population presents a useful opportunity for comparing DNA polymorphism results** with those derived from other types of data. Here, we report an analysis **of nuclear RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) and blood group variation in 442 Utah males from 8 geographic subdivisions. To our knowledge this is the first time that genetic kinship coefficients based on RFLPs, blood groups, migration matrices, isonymy, and pedigrees have been estimated and compared in the same human population.**

# **Materials and Methods**

**The study population consists of 442 males bom in 8 Utah counties [geographically equivalent to "stakes," the unit of subdivision used in previous analyses (Jorde 1982)]. The counties, shown in Figure 1, were chosen to maximize between-group genetic diversity, based on the re**sults of previous genetic structure analyses. All the study subjects are **members of the Utah Population Data Base (UPDB), a computerized genealogical database that consists of 1.1 million individuals linked into large pedigrees. A random sample of UPDB members bom between 1941**



Figure 1. **Map of Utah showing the locations of the eight counties from which subjects were sampled.**

**and 1955 was ascertained for each county. Analysis of these subjects' genealogies showed that their collective ancestry is 61% British (including Welsh, Irish, and Scottish) and 31% Scandinavian, with Swiss and** German ancestry accounting for most of the remainder [see O'Brien, **Zenger et al. (1994) for further details]. The ethnic heritage of these subjects closely reflects the known historical origins of Utah's founders (Arrington and Bitton 1979; McLellan et al. 1984).**

Each subject was located by obtaining records from the Utah Di**vision of Motor Vehicles. Initial contact was made by mail, with telephone followup. Approximately 50% of those subjects who were contacted agreed to participate in the study. Because ascertainment was random and no financial remuneration was offered, this response rate should produce no systematic bias.**

**After obtaining informed consent (the protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Utah Health Sciences Center), a 50-ml sample of venous blood was drawn from each study** subject. Blood typing for the ABO, Rh, MNS, Lewis, P, Kell, Duffy, **and Kidd blood groups was carried out at the Latter-Day Saints Hospital, Salt Lake City, Utah.**

To assay RFLPs,  $5 \mu$ g of DNA was digested to completion with specific restriction enzymes in 35- $\mu$ l reaction volumes. Digests were pre**pared in 96-well trays using a Biomek 1000 workstation and left over**night at a temperature recommended by the enzyme manufacturers. Di**gested DNA fragments were separated by size in 0.8% agarose gels,** which were electrophoresed overnight at approximately 35 V. DNA frag**ments were then transferred to Hybond+ nylon membrane (Amersham)** in 4 *N* NaOH for 6 hr or overnight. Membranes were rinsed  $(2 \times SSC)$ , **lightly blotted, and prehybridized in SSPE (5x) hybridization solution. Probes, which were obtained from the laboratory of Raymond White at** the University of Utah, were labeled with <sup>32</sup>P (specific activity =  $1.5 \times$ 10<sup>6</sup> cpm/ml hybridization volume), added to 65<sup>o</sup>C hybridization solu**tion, and incubated with the membranes overnight at 65°C. Membranes** were washed once in  $2 \times$  SSC/0.1% SDS, once in  $0.1 \times$  SSC/0.1% SDS for approximately 15 min at room temperature, and a final time in  $0.1 \times$ **SSC/0.1% SDS** at 60°C. Membranes were lightly blotted, wrapped in **Saran Wrap, and exposed to x-ray film with intensifying screens for several hours or overnight.**

**Gene frequencies for each subpopulation were estimated by maximum likelihood for the systems in which there is dominance (ABO, Rh, MNS, P, and Lewis). The other blood group systems and all RFLP systems are codominant, so gene frequencies for these systems were estimated by direct gene counting. Among the codominant systems, none of the genotype frequencies deviated significantly from Hardy-Weinberg proportions.**

Genetic variation in the population was estimated using the  $G<sub>ST</sub>$ **statistic (Nei 1987). This statistic provides an estimate of the proportion of genetic variance in the population attributable to subdivision, both for** diallelic and multiallelic systems. To test the null hypothesis that  $G_{ST}$  = **0, subjects were randomly assigned to each of the eight subdivisions.** Gene frequencies and  $G_{ST}$  were then reestimated 1000 times to generate a null distribution of  $G_{ST}$  values. Statistical significance was assessed by comparing the estimated  $G_{ST}$  value with this distribution. If, for example, the estimated  $G_{ST}$  exceeded 95% of the values in the distribution, a type **I error level of 0.05 was assigned.**

Kinship coefficients among subdivisions,  $r_{ii}$ , were estimated using **the** *R* **matrix technique (Harpending and Jenkins 1973). Genetic distances** *dy* **were obtained from the kinship coefficients using the standard formula**

$$
d_{ij} = r_{ii} + r_{jj} - 2r_{ij}.\tag{1}
$$

**Distance matrices estimated from RFLPs and blood groups were compared using the Mantel matrix comparison method (Mantel 1967;** Smouse et al. 1986). This method randomly permutes columns of one of the matrices to generate a null distribution of correlation coefficients. The estimated correlation coefficient for a pair of matrices is then com**pared with this distribution to obtain a significance level. The blood group and RFLP distance matrices were also compared with distance matrices** based on migration matrices, isonymy, pedigrees, and geographic lo**cation. These data have been published previously (Jorde 1982, 1989; Jorde and Morgan 1987).**

In addition to examining genetic similarity among subdivisions, we **assessed genetic similarity among individuals by estimating the propor**tion of identical alleles for each possible pair of individuals. This pro**cedure is direct for codominant system s, including all the RFLPs. For systems in which there is dominance it is not possible to distinguish the hom ozygous dominant genotype from the heterozygote. There are many ways of dealing with such missing data problems (Little and Rubin 1987).** Karlin et al. (1982) advocate a Monte Carlo approach in which individ**uals are assigned a second allele randomly, based on the gene frequency** in the population. Thus, if a subject had one copy of the dominant allele and the frequency of this allele in the population was 0.70, the subject would have a 70% chance of being randomly assigned the dominant allele as the second allele. The effects of this procedure on our results will **be discussed later.**

**Two relationship matrices were generated: one for blood groups** and one for RFLPs. Because each study subject was a member of the **genealogical database, it was also possible to estimate the genealogical** kinship coefficient for each pair of subjects. This provided a third re**lationship matrix, based on known kinship coefficients. The three relationship matrices were compared using the Mantel matrix comparison technique. Ten thousand random permutations were carried out for each** comparison to achieve a high degree of accuracy in estimating the sig**nificance levels. Despite the large size of these matrices**  $(442 \times 442)$ **,** an optimized computer algorithm performed the permutations in approximately 1 hr of dedicated time on a Sun IPC workstation. [This C program is in a file named mantel.tar, available by means of anonymous **FTP from anthro.utah.edu.] ,**

## **Results**

**Table 1 gives probe-enzyme combinations, chromosome location,** and heterozygosity for each RFLP system. Twenty of the 22 RFLPs were

Probe	Enzyme	Chromosome	Heterozygosity	
4c11	BgIII	6p	0.43	
pMS3-18	$Bg$ <sup>[]</sup>	22q	0.39	
WC64	$Bg$ [II	13q	0.40	
MCR3	EcoRI	1q	0.37 è	
pW236b	EcoRI	21q	0.42	
DV1.9	HindIII	17q	0.48	
HcoII	$H$ ind $\rm III$	12q	0.48	
EFZ33	HindIII	15	0.38	
EFZ31	<b>MspI</b>	22	0.47	
<b>EFD122</b>	MspI	2p	0.49	
pPW228c	<b>MspI</b>	21q	0.43	
WC25	MspI	13q	0.42	
T593	PstI	7p	0.43	
<b>PSL</b>	$P_{SI}$	17q	0.41	
EFD70.2	$P$ vuII	10	0.51	
EKX3B	$P$ vuII	16	0.28	
pHHH163	$P$ <i>vu</i> II	18p	0.47	
TB10.171	$P$ <i>vu</i> II	10	0.43	
CRAF-1	TaqI	3p	0.35	
<b>EKH7.4</b>	TaqI	lq	0.50	
9F11	TaqI	12q	0.44	
M5.12E3	TaqI	5q	0.43	

Table 1. Probe-Enzyme Combinations, Chromosome Locations, and Heterozygosity for Each RFLP

diallelic systems. The WC25 polymorphism had three alleles, and the **EFD 70.2 system had four alleles. Gene frequencies for each blood group and RFLP system in each subdivision are given in Table 2. This table also provides the sample size from each subdivision; these ranged from 52 to 58.**

**Table 3 presents the average gene diversity (or heterozygosity) within** subdivisions  $(H_s)$ , total gene diversity  $(H_t)$ , gene identity between subdivisions  $(D_{ST})$ , and the standardized measure  $G_{ST}$  for the blood group and RFLP systems. Significance levels for  $G_{ST}$ , based on the random **assignment procedure, are also given. The table shows that a relatively** small proportion of the genetic variation in this population, slightly over 1%, is due to subdivision effects. The  $G_{ST}$  estimate from blood groups, **0 .013, is similar to the value estimated from RFLPs, 0.012. Although** the statistic based on RFLPs is highly significant ( $p < 0.002$ ), the  $\overline{G}_{ST}$ value based on blood groups is nonsignificant ( $p > 0.4$ ), possibly be**cause RFLP alleles outnumber blood group alleles by nearly 2 to 1 (47** vs. 25). To test this possibility, we computed a second  $G_{ST}$  using only **10 randomly chosen RFLPs (20 alleles). The value obtained from this data set was similar to the previous one (0.10) and was still marginally** significant  $(0.08 < p < 0.09)$ . If a correction for sampling variance is applied to the  $G_{ST}$  estimates (Workman et al. 1973), they become sub**stantially lower (0.005 and 0.003 for the blood groups and RFLPs, respectively).**

**The results of comparing the intersubdivision blood group and RFLP** distance matrices to those based on isonymy, migration matrices, pedigrees, and geographic distance are shown in Table 4. None of the correlations are statistically significant. However, all correlations involving **the RFLP distance matrix substantially exceed those involving the blood group distance matrix. The correlation between the blood group and RFLP** distance matrices was low and nonsignificant ( $r = 0.064$ ,  $p > 0.4$ ).

Table 5 presents the results of Mantel matrix comparisons at the **individual level. The RFLP and blood group similarity matrices show a low and marginally significant correlation. A comparison of the blood group and genealogical kinship matrices also reveals a low but more significant correlation. The correlation between the RFLP matrix and** genealogical kinship is about twice as high as that of the blood group **matrix and is highly significant. The highest correlation is seen when the RFLP and blood group data are combined and then compared with genealogical kinship.**

**A possible explanation for the higher correlations involving the RFLP data is that they include more independent alleles than do the blood groups.** To test this, we randomly divided the RFLP systems into two halves and **then compared them with genealogical kinship. Although both correlations were lower than the correlation involving the entire RFLP data set, both were still higher than the blood group correlation (Table 5). A sec**ond explanation is that accurately estimating the proportion of shared **alleles may be difficult when using blood groups because direct esti**mation was possible for only four codominant systems (MN, Kell, Duffy, **and Kidd). To evaluate this possibility, we formed a similarity matrix** based on only these four systems. This matrix exhibited a higher cor**relation with the RFLP matrix than did the total blood group matrix (r**  $= 0.026$ ,  $p < 0.008$ ), whereas the correlation between the other blood **group systems and the RFLP matrix was low and nonsignificant (r =** 0.006,  $p > 0.20$ ). However, the similarity matrix based on codominant **blood groups demonstrated a correlation with genealogical kinship even** lower than the correlation using the total blood group matrix ( $r = 0.0097$ , *p <* **0.01). Comparisons at the subdivision level also yielded very low (r < 0.01) nonsignificant correlations between a distance matrix based on the codominant blood groups and all other distance matrices (RFLP,** migration, isonymy, and pedigree).

**Because the Utah Mormon population is an outbred population, most** of the genealogical kinship coefficients (98%) are zero. Most of the re**maining coefficients are relatively small (Figure 2). Because these ge-**





 $\epsilon$ 



 $\geq$ 

**a. Only one allele is shown from each of the diallelic systems.**

**b. One allele is omitted from each system.**

## $752 /$  O'BRIEN ET AL.





Table 4. Correlation Coefficients and Significance Levels (in Parentheses) for RFLP and Blood Group Intersubdivision Genetic Distances versus Other Distance Matrices

	Migration Matrix	Isonymy	Genealogies	Geographic Distance
Blood groups	0.036 (>0.43)	0.111 (>0.33)	0.008 (>0.48)	$-0.189$ ( $>0.15$ )
<b>RFLPs</b>	0.280 (>0.20)	0.170 (>0.28)	0.216 (>0.27)	$-0.270 (>0.13)$

Table 5. Correlation Coefficients and Significance Levels for Individual-Level **Comparisons** 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  .





Figure 2. **Distribution of pairs of individuals with nonzero kinship coefficients. The** *x* **axis gives the degree of relationship for each pair (e.g., a value of 1 corresponds to sibling pairs, 2 corresponds to uncle-nephew or double first cousin pairs, 3 corresponds to first cousins, 4 to first cousins once removed, and so on). A small number of individuals were related through multiple paths and thus did not fit into one of these categories; they are not shown here.**

nealogies are truncated (like all pedigrees), pairs of individuals who are relatives may still yield a kinship coefficient of zero. It is also possible that some genealogical links may be missing. Accordingly, we performed a second set of analyses in which only the nonzero kinship coefficients were included. The results of this analysis are also shown in **Table 5. In every comparison the correlations increased by approxi**mately one order of magnitude. The general patterns, particularly the **larger correlations for RFLP data sets than for blood groups, remained the same.**

**To ensure that our computer algorithm was providing meaningful** significance levels, we generated a matrix of random numbers and com**pared it with the RFLP, blood group, and genealogical kinship matrices.** All three correlations were nonsignificant, with  $p$  values ranging from **0.07 to 0.41.**

### **Discussion**

The analysis of both blood group and RFLP data demonstrates that **intersubdivision genetic variance in this population is relatively low . This** is consistent with the results of previous studies based on migration matrices, isonymy, and genealogies. These studies yielded  $F_{ST}$  values of 0.00003, 0.0007, and 0.0003, respectively  $(F_{ST}$  is essentially the same measure as  $G_{ST}$ ). These results are also consistent with those of a recent study of VNTR (variable number of tandem repeat) variation in the Utah CEPH (Centre d'Étude du Polymorphisme Humain) kindreds, which, al**though based on a less system atically sampled population, show little** evidence of subdivision effects in the Utah population (Chakraborty and Daiger 1991). The  $G_{ST}$  values obtained here, particularly those corrected for sampling variance, are well within the ranges seen in other continental populations and are substantially lower than those of small iso**lated populations (Jorde 1980). This result is expected, given this population's historically large size (which limits genetic drift) and high rates** of population mobility and immigration.

Although the  $G_{ST}$  values based on gene frequencies are relatively low, they are nonetheless substantially higher than the previously obtained  $F_{ST}$  values. This can be attributed to the fact that gene frequencies reflect many generations of past evolution, whereas genealogical kinship data are truncated. In this population few of the genealogies include in**dividuals bom before 1800. The migration matrix approach assumes equilibrium migration patterns and uniformity of source populations, and** both of these assumptions are often incorrect (Jorde 1982). Isonymy data, like gene frequencies, tend to reflect more of a population's past evolution; it is thus interesting that the isonymy-based  $F_{ST}$  value is closest in value to the  $G_{ST}$  values obtained here.

The relative lack of between-subdivision heterogeneity helps to ac**count for the low correlation between genetic distances and those based on isonym y, migration matrices, and genealogies. Our previous studies** have shown that the last three types of data yield highly significant cor**relations among one another. This may be partly because these kinship** matrices were based on large sample sizes—thousands of individuals in **each subdivision. The sample size for the genetic data, approximately 55 per subdivision, is necessarily much smaller.**

**The especially poor correlation between geographic distance and genetic distance, with negative correlation coefficients for both the blood group and RFLP matrices, can be explained by a nonrandom settlement** pattern of Utah by different European population groups. For example, **the Scandinavians, who are genetically similar to one another, tended to** settle in the geographically removed far-northern and central parts of the state, represented here by Box Elder and Sanpete counties, respectively

#### *Genetic Structure of Utah Mormons* / 755

**(Figure 1) (Bitton and Irving 1976; Mulder 1976; O 'Brien, Zenger et al. 1994). These settlement patterns and the relatively few generations for the buildup of an isolation-by-distance effect after settlement contribute to a lack of correlation between genetic and geographic distance. Pre**vious analyses of this population have shown that geographic distance **is highly correlated with kinship estimated from migration matrices and** pedigrees but less correlated with kinship estimated by isonymy. Again, **this can be attributed to nonrandom settlement of Utah by founding groups** with different surname distributions (Jorde 1989; Jorde and Morgan 1987). Other studies have shown a similar lack of correlation between geographic distance and isonymy in recently founded populations (Gradie **et al. 1988; Smith 1988; Swedlund et al. 1985).**

These results can be compared with those of one other study in **which genealogical kinship and genetic kinship have been analyzed in a** subdivided population. O'Brien (1987) compared genetic distances based **on four blood group loci with genealogical kinship in the Hutterite S-leut.** In contrast to the present study and despite a smaller number of loci, **O 'Brien demonstrated a significant correlation between genealogical kinship and genetic distance. This can be ascribed to several factors. First,** the number of individuals analyzed was 3171, much larger than the pres**ent study. Second, 44 subdivisions were included, providing more data points for statistical analysis. Finally, and perhaps most important, the** Hutterite population has a history of genetic isolation (both from the **outside world and between subdivisions within the population) and a small founding population. It is thus considerably more structured than the Utah Mormon population.**

Despite a lack of significant correlation at the subdivision level, both the blood group and RFLP data showed significant, albeit low, correlations with genealogical kinship at the individual level. As for the **subdivision-level correlations, the RFLP data provided higher correlations than did the blood group data. To the extent that genealogical kinship represents known genetic relationships, this result indicates that the RFLP** data provide a more accurate portrayal of genetic kinship than do **the blood group data.**

Although the significance levels of these correlations are encouraging, the low values of the correlation coefficients indicate that the ge**netic data could not accurately predict genealogical kinship. These re**sults are expected on the basis of previous theoretical analyses. It is well **known that goodness-of-fit tests based on Hardy-Weinberg expectations have low power to detect inbreeding (Jenkins et al. 1985; Ward and Sing** 1970; Weir 1990). Yasuda (1968) showed that maximum-likelihood estimates of inbreeding coefficients from genotypes become reliable only when the inbreeding coefficient is large  $(0.02 \text{ or more})$ . As the inbreeding coefficient becomes smaller, larger samples of subjects and loci are required for an accurate estimate. The average inbreeding coefficient  $(f)$ in the present sample is  $10^{-4}$ , which is consistent with previous estimates **based on much larger samples (Jorde 1989). Yasuda's results, based on** an assumption of 22 codominant loci, indicate that inbreeding coeffi**cients in this low range could be statistically distinguished from zero only** when over 18,000,000 subjects are assayed genetically. Similarly, Thompson (1976) showed that the relationship between f and heterozy**gosity measured by gene frequencies is unpredictable, particularly when** the number of generations since founding is small (as in this population). Like Yasuda, Thompson indicates that the relationship between f and **heterozygosity becomes more stable as more loci are sampled. In this regard, it is instructive that the correlations obtained here continued to increase as more loci were compared with genealogical kinship (e .g ., adding the blood group and RFLP information together).**

**It is also noteworthy that Robertson and Hill (1984) showed that** rare alleles provide more reliable estimates of low f values than do common ones. This implies that minisatellite and microsatellite repeat polymorphisms, which usually have large numbers of alleles, may be more useful for predicting *f* values in human populations.

Why do the RFLP data yield higher correlations with other types of data than do the blood groups? Several reasons can be offered. First, **gene frequency estimates are inexact in systems with dominance. This may explain why in some analyses the four codominant blood group systems correlated more highly with the RFLPs than did the entire blood group data set. Second, RFLPs are more likely than blood groups to be** selectively neutral. (However, this factor is probably of limited impor**tance in a study such as this, in which there is relatively little time depth.)** Finally, RFLPs are a direct reflection of DNA sequence variation at sin**gle sites, whereas the relationship between m ost blood group alleles and** DNA sequences is more complex [e.g., Mouro et al. (1993) and Ya**mamoto et al. (1990)].**

**There is no question that blood group variation has played an important role in helping to understand human genetic evolution. Because** of their abundance and wide distribution, blood group data continue to be useful in many contexts (Cavalli-Sforza et al. 1988; Nei and Roychoudhury 1993; Sokal et al. 1991, 1993). Nevertheless, our results in**dicate that RFLP data may provide a more accurate and complete picture** of human genetic variation and evolution.

*Acknowledgments* We are grateful for aid and comments from Sharon Austin, Michael Bamshad, John Bird, Mary Hadley, Elaine Hillas, Leslie Jerominski, Rich Kerber, Mark Leppert, Judy Lindblom, Jean Nash, Steve Randall, Joli Schwellenbach, Scott Watkins, Ray White, and Keith Willmore. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health through grants HG-00347 (awarded to L.B . Jorde) and CA42014 (awarded to the Utah Cancer Center) and by the National Science Foundation through grants BNS-8720330 (awarded to L.B. Jorde) and DBS-9211255 (awarded to A.R. Rogers).

*Received 18 November 1993; revision received 8 February 1994.* 

## **Literature Cited**

- Arrington, L.J., and D. Bitton. 1979. *The Mormon Experience: A History of the Latter-Day Saints.* New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- **Balazs, I'., J. Neuwcilcr, P. Gunn et al. 1992. Human population gcnctic studies using** hypervariable loci. I. Analysis of Assamese, Australian, Cambodian, Caucasian, **Chinese, and Melanesian populations.** *Genetics* **131:191-198.**
- Bitton, D., and G. Irving. 1976. The continental inheritance. In *The Peoples of Utah*, **H.Z. Papanikolas, ed. Salt Lake City, UT: Utah State-Historical Society, 221— 250. , ...**
- **Bowcock, A .M ., J.R. Kidd, J.L. Mountain et al. 1991. Drift, admixture, and selection in human evolution: A study with DNA polymorphisms.** *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **88:839-843.**
- Cann, R.L., M. Stoneking, and A.C. Wilson. 1987. Mitochondrial DNA and human **evolution.** *Nature* **325:31-36. -**
- Cavalli-Sforza, L.L., A. Piazza, P. Menozzi et al. 1988. Reconstruction of human evolution: Bringing together genetic, archaeological, and linguistic data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **85:6002-6006.**
- Chakraborty, R., and S.P. Daiger. 1991. Polymorphisms at VNTR loci suggest homogeneity of the white population of Utah. *Hum. Biol.* 63:571–587.
- Deka, R., R. Chakraborty, and R.E. Ferrell. 1991. A population genetic study of six **VNTR loci in three ethnically defined populations.** *Genom ics* **11:83-92.**
- **Edwards, A ., H .A . Hammond, L. Jin et al. 1992. Genetic variation at-Hve trimeric and tetrameric tandem repeat loci in four human population groups.** *Genomics* **12:241 — 253. ■**
- Gradie, M., L.B. Jorde, and G. Bouchard. 1988. Genetic structure of the Saguenay **1852-1911: Evidence from migration and isonymy matrices.** *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **77:321-333.**
- Harpending, H., and T. Jenkins. 1973. Genetic distance among southern African pop**ulations. In** *M ethods and Theories o f A nthropological G enetics***, M .H . Crawford** and P.L. Workman, eds. Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press, **177-199.**
- Harpending, H.C., S.T. Sherry, A.R. Rogers et al. 1993. The genetic structure of ancient human populations. *Curr. Anthropol.* 34:483-496.
- **Horai, S., R. Kondo, Y. Nakagawa-Hattori et al. 1993. Peopling of the Americas, founded** by four major lineages of mitochondrial DNA. *Molec. Biol. Evol.* 10:23-47.
- Jenkins, T., P. Beighton, and A.G. Steinberg. 1985. Serogenetic studies on the inhab**itants of Tristan da Cunha.** *Ann. Hum. Biol.* 12:363-371.
- Jorde, L.B. 1980. The genetic structure of subdivided human populations: A review. In *Current Developments in Anthropological Genetics,* v. 1, J.H. Mielke and M.H. **■Crawford, eds. N ew York: Plenum Press, 135-208.**
- **Jorde, L.B. 1982. The genetic structure of the Utah Mormons: Migration analysis.** *Hum. Biol.* **54:583-597.**
- Jorde, L.B. 1989. Inbreeding in the Utah Mormons: An evaluation of estimates based on pedigrees, isonymy, and migration matrices. Ann. Hum. Genet. 53:339–355.
- **Jorde, L.B., and K. Morgan. 1987. Genetic structure of the Utah Mormons: Isonymy analysis.** *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **72:403-412.**
- Karlin, S., D. Carmelli, and B. Bonne-Tamir. 1982. Analysis of biochemical data on **Jewish populations. III. The application of individual phenotype measurements for population comparisons.** *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **34:50-64.**
- Kidd, J.R., F.L. Black, K.M. Weiss et al. 1991. Studies of three Amerindian popula**tions using nuclear DNA polymorphisms.** *Hum. Biol.* **63:775—794.**
- Little, R.J.A., and D.B. Rubin. 1987. *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data.* New York: Wiley.
- Mantel, N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression ap**proach.** *Cancer Res.* **27:209-220.**
- **Martinson, J.J., R.M . Harding, G. Philippon ct al. 1993. Demographic reductions and** genetic bottlenecks in humans: Minisatellite allele distributions in Oceania. *Hum. Genet.* **91:445-450. '**
- **McLellan, T ., L.B. Jorde, and M .H . Skolnick. 1984. Genetic distances between the Utah Mormons and related populations.** *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **36:836-857.**
- **Mouro, I., Y. Colin, B. Cherif-Zahar et al. 1993. Molecular genetic basis of the human Rhesus blood group system.** *Nature Genet.* **5:62-65y .**
- Mulder, W. 1976. Scandinavian saga. In *The Peoples of Utah*, H.Z. Papanikolas, ed. **Salt Lake City, UT: Utah State Historical Society, 141-185.**
- Nei, M. 1987. *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Nei, M., and A.K. Roychoudhury. 1993. Evolutionary relationships of human popula**tions on a global scale.** *M olec. Biol. Evol.* **10:927-943.**
- **O 'Brien, E. 1987. The correlation between population structure and genetic structure in** the Hutterite population. In *Mammalian Dispersal Patterns: The Effects of Social Structure on Population Genetics,* **B .D . Chepko-Sade and Z.T. Halpin, eds. Chi**cago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 193-224.

**O 'Brien, E ., R. Zenger, and L .B . Jorde. 1994. Genetic structure of the Utah Mormons: genetic kinship and ancestral relationships. Unpublished.**

- O'Brien, E., R.A. Kerber, L.B. Jorde et al. 1994. Founder effect: Assessment of vari**ation in genetic contribution among founders.** *Hum. Biol.* **'66(2): 185-204.**
- **Robertson, A ., and W .G. Hill. 1984. Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg proportions: Sampling variances and use in estimation of inbreeding coefficients.** *Genetics* **107:703-718.**
- Smith, M.T. 1988. The Isle of Wight in 1851: Historical migration and genetic structure. *A ctes des 3em es Journees Anthropologiques* **24:113—122.**
- **Smouse, P .E ., J.C. Long, and R.R. Sokal. 1986. Multiple regression and correlation** extensions of the Mantel test of matrix correspondence. *Syst. Zool.* 35:627-632.
- Sokal, R.R., N.L. Oden, and C. Wilson. 1991. Genetic evidence for the spread of ag**riculture in Europe by demic diffusion.** *Nature* **351:143-145.**
- Sokal, R.R., G.M. Jacquez, N.L. Oden et al. 1993. Genetic relationships of European **populations reflect their ethnohistorical affinities.** *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **91:55 70.**
- Stoneking, M., L.B. Jorde, K. Bhatia et al. 1990. Geographic variation of human mitochondrial DNA from Papua New Guinea. *Genetics* 124:717-733.
- Swedlund, A.C., A.B. Anderson, and A.J. Boyce. 1985. Population structure in the Connecticut valley. II. A comparison of multidimensional scaling solutions of migration matrices and isonymy. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 68:539-547.

**Thompson, E.A. 1976. Population correlation and population kinship.** *Theor. Popul. Biol.* **10:205-226.**

- Torroni, A., O. Semino, R. Scozzari et al. 1990. Y chromosome DNA polymorphisms **in human populations: Differences between Caucasoids and Africans detected by 49a and 4 9f probes.** *Ann. Hum. Genet.* **54:287-296.**
- Ward, R.H., and C.F. Sing. 1970. A consideration of the power of the chi-square test **to detect inbreeding effects in natural populations.** *Am. Natur.* **104:355-365.**

Weir, B.S. 1990. *Genetic Data Analysis*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.

- Workman, P.L., H.C. Harpending, J.M. Lalouel et al. 1973. Population studies on **Southwestern Indian tribes. VI. Papago population structure: A comparison of** genetic and migration analyses. In *Genetic Structure of Populations*, N.E. Morton, ed. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawaii Press, 166-194.
- Yamamoto, F., H. Clausen, T. White et al. 1990. Molecular genetic basis of the histo**blood group ABO system.** *Nature* **345:229-233.**
- Yasuda, N. 1968. Estimation of the inbreeding coefficient from phenotype frequencies by a method of maximum likelihood scoring. *Biometrics* 24:915-935.