



Querying the Web

Daniela Florescu

INRIA

Juliana Freire

Database Systems Research
Bell Labs - Lucent Technologies



The Web - some history

- ◆ 1989 First Web browser
- ◆ 1993 Mosaic is released, there are 50 Web sites
- ◆ 1994 First search engines (WWW, WebCrawler)
- ◆ 1996 US\$1 billion spent in Internet shopping, users in 150 countries
- ◆ 1997 1 million Web sites
- ◆ 1998 300 thousand servers world-wide
- ◆ 2000 More than 1 billion distinct Web pages (<http://www.inktomi.com/new/press/billion.html>)

Data on the Web

- ◆ What is the Web today?
 - HTML documents intended for human consumption
 - easy to fetch any Web page, from any server and platform
- ◆ Everything is on the Web - **over 1 billion pages**
 - news, hotel information, airfares, groceries, movie times, location of famous people's burial places, ...
- ◆ How to find the information you need?
 - browsing: time consuming, easy to get lost
 - query!

Querying the Web: The Evolution

- ◆ **Search engines** were the 1st tools available: indexes of Web pages are built that allow for "fast" keyword search
 - limited ad hoc querying - limited query interface
- ◆ **Web languages** allow more "structured" queries
 - integrate navigation and querying - improve results by providing more info to guide the search;
 - document query languages (e.g., XSLT, XMLQL)
- ◆ **Webbases:** the Web as a database
 - a unified view of information from diverse sources (e.g., comparison shopping, portals, mediators)

Tutorial Goals

- ◆ Expose attendees to key concepts and technologies for finding, querying and integrating information on the Web
- ◆ Identify technical challenges in designing systems that support Web queries
- ◆ Survey recent literature, and discuss interesting research directions

Tutorial Outline

- ◆ Introduction
- ◆ Search engines
- ◆ Web languages
 - from WebSQL to XML query languages
- ◆ Integrating Web information: querying the Web as a database
- ◆ Concluding remarks and future directions

Roadmap


- ◆ Search engines: brief overview
- ◆ Web languages
- ◆ Webbases

Search engines

- ◆ Manually built indexes (e.g., yahoo.com)
 - browse through site hierarchy
- ◆ Automated search engines (e.g., google.com)
 - Web robots traverse the Web hypertext structure and retrieves all documents that are referenced
 - retrieved documents are parsed and indexed (inverted-list)
 - keyword-based search
 - find all documents that contain string "XML"*



Search Engines: Evolution

- ◆ **1994** WWW - the World Wide Web Worm indexed 110k pages
- ◆ **1997** top engines claimed to index 100 million pages
- ◆ **2000** over 500 million pages, and over 300 search engines!



GG=Google, WT=WebTop.com, AV=AltaVista, FAST=FAST, NL=Northern Light, EX=Excite, INK=Inktomi, Go=Go (Infoseek).

Size and Coverage



GG=Google, WT=WebTop.com, AV=AltaVista,
FAST=FAST, NL=Northern Light,
EX=Excite, INK=Inktomi, Go=Go (Infoseek).

Research Issues

- ◆ Fast crawling needed to gather information and keep it up to date
- ◆ Efficient use of storage to store indexes and the documents themselves
- ◆ Efficient index system capable of processing hundreds of gigabytes of data
- ◆ Efficiently handle hundreds to thousands of queries per second search
- ◆ *Quality of results*: too many irrelevant answers

Improving Quality of Results

- ◆ Google: page ranking based on backlinks [Brin-WWW7]
- ◆ Duplicate removal [SIFT, Shivakumar-WebDB'98]
- ◆ Meta-search: combine and processing of results of various search (e.g., to filter out *bad* answers) [search.com]
- ◆ Collaborative engines (e.g., www.hotbot.com)
- ◆ Directories, webrings, domain specific, etc
- ◆ Focused crawling [Chakrabarti et al-WWW8]

Meta-Search: Search.com

The screenshot shows the Search.com interface with a search for 'toshiba laptop'. The search results are categorized into 'Metasearching for 'toshiba laptop'', 'Search Partners', and 'Web Pages'. A red box highlights the 'Metasearching' section, which lists various search engines used to find the results, including FindWhat, Direct Hit, Goto.com, WebCrawler, mySimon, AltaVista, Yahoo!, and Open Directory. A red arrow points from this box to a separate box on the right containing the text: 'Findwhat', 'Direct Hit', 'goto.com', 'WebCrawler', and '....'. Below the search results, there are sections for 'Search Partners' and 'Web Pages', each containing several links to related content. On the right side of the page, there are advertisements for 'e-vite.com' and 'Sponsored links' for '15GB HD Only \$9.99! Tons of DVD Players!'. At the bottom right, there is a 'Search.com's Top 100 Searches' list with items like 'yahoo', 'mp3', 'gamas', 'lyrics', 'olympics', 'britney spears', 'chat', 'music', 'napster', and 'hill clinton'.

Findwhat
Direct Hit
goto.com
WebCrawler
....

HotBot

The LYCOS Network Find it - Talk about it - Shop for it

HOTBOT **Plug and Play.** **LYCOS AUCTIONS**
Find great prices on video games, electronics, home theater and portable audio. Bid Now!

Results for Search within these results

Look for:

PEOPLE WHO DID THIS SEARCH ALSO SEARCHED FOR

[Cooking](#) [Chef](#) [Recipies](#) [Bake](#)
[Cookbook](#) [Glen Cook](#) [Ingredients](#) [Roger zelazny](#)

all the words
any of the words
exact phrase
the page title
the person
links to this URL
Boolean phrase

Semantic Web

- ◆ Add metadata about properties and relationships of items on the Web.
- ◆ RDF (Resource Description Format)
 - Declarative language that provides a standard way for using XML to represent metadata in the form of statements about properties and relationships of items on the Web.
- ◆ OIL (Ontology Interchange Language)
 - standard for specifying and exchanging ontologies.

Roadmap

◆ **Search engines:** brief overview

◆ **Web languages**

- First Web languages: WebSQL, W3QL, WebLog
- XML
- Some XML query languages

◆ **Webbases**

Web Languages

- ◆ Search engines have a very limited query interface
 - only keyword-based queries are allowed
 - flat queries over content of individual documents
 - not expressive enough
- ◆ Need more "expressive" queries
 - besides content, query Web **topology** and **document structure**

First Web Languages

- ◆ Use topology of the Web in queries to control navigation and get **better answers**: combine browsing and searching
 - WebSQL, W3QL
- ◆ Query the document contents taking structure into account, and build new documents
 - WebLog

WebSQL

- ◆ Exploit the structure and topology of the document network
- ◆ Clear semantics based on a virtual graph model
- ◆ Relational view of the Web:
Document(url,title,text,type,length,modif)
- ◆ A query: *find all HTML documents about XML*
SELECT d.url, d.title
FROM Document d SUCH THAT d MENTIONS "XML"
WHERE d.type="text,html"

WebSQL (cont.)

- ◆ Introduced the notion of cost (local vs remote)
- ◆ Another query: *starting from the W3C home page, find all documents with XML in the title that linked through paths of length 2 or less containing only local links*

```
SELECT d.url, d.title
```

```
FROM Document d SUCH THAT
```

```
    "http://w3c.org"=->|->.->d
```

```
WHERE d.title CONTAINS "XML"
```

Other languages

- ◆ W3QL
 - similar to WebSQL, but the focus is on interoperability - use together with other tools
- ◆ WebLog
 - model contents of document based on HTML structure (assumes knowledge about structure)
 - logic-based language for querying and restructuring information

Some WebLog queries

% Find all DBLP pages that refer to XML

```
dblp_pages(http://www.informatik..../~ley/db).
```

```
dblp_pages(U) :- dblp_pages(V), V[hlink->L],  
                href(L,U),
```

```
                substring(U, http://www.informatik..../~ley/db).
```

```
xml_pages(U) :- dblp_pages(U), U[occurs->I],syn(I,'XML').
```

% Collect all links to HTML documents, and the corresponding document title

```
ans.html[title->'all citations', hlink->>L, occurs->>T] :-
```

```
    dblp_pages[hlink->>L], href(L,U), U[title->T].
```

HTML vs. XML

- ◆ HTML is only for presentation

```
<H2> The Advanced Html Companion</H2>
```

```
US$35.96
```

```
<UL>
```

```
    <LI> Keith Schengili-Roberts
```

```
    <LI> Kim Silk-Copeland
```

```
</UL>
```

- ◆ XML can describe the information content

```
<Book>
```

```
<Title>The Advanced Html Companion</Title>
```

```
<Author> Keith Schengili-Roberts </Author>
```

```
<Author> Kim Silk-Copeland</Author>
```

```
<Price> 35.96</Price>
```

```
</Book>
```

The secret of HTML success

- ◆ **Everybody can write it:**
 - > HTML is **simple**
 - > HTML is **textual**: it is human readable, you can use any editor, ...
- ◆ **Everybody can read it**
 - > HTML is **Portable** on any platform
 - > The **browser** is the **universal application**
- ◆ **It connects pieces of information together**
 - > Through **hypertext** links

But new applications = new needs

◆ Infomediaries:

- Search engines
- Web portals
- Digital libraries
- Virtual enterprises

◆ Electronic commerce:

- On-line catalogs and procurement
- Comparison shoppers
- Market places

◆ Scientific applications

◆ Manufacturing engineering etc.

More than HTML: data on the Web

More than the browser: applications on the Web

The secret of XML popularity

```
<book> <title> Foundations... </title>
      <author> Abiteboul </author>
      <author> Hull </author>
      <author> Vianu </author>
      <publisher> Addison Wesley </publisher>
      <year> 1995 </year>
</book> ...
```

It looks like HTML...

- > Simple, familiar, easy to learn, human-readable
- > Universal and portable
- > Supported by the W3C: trusted and quickly adopted by the industry

...but it's more than HTML!

- > flexible: you can represent any information
- > extensible: you can represent it the way you want!

But XML is just the beginning...

- ◆ We now want to build applications
 - > There is an urgent need for XML tools
- ◆ Designing XML tools is a data management problem:
 - > XML 1.0 to describe structured documents
 - = Syntax for trees
 - > XML data models to describe the information content
 - = Data model for trees
 - > XML schemas to describe the structure of information
 - = Data definition language for trees
 - > XML languages to describe information processing
 - = Data manipulation language for trees

XML 1.0: Well formed documents

- ◆ An **XML Document** is composed of:
 - > markup: **element**, **attributes**
 - > text: **#PCDATA**, **CDATA**
- ◆ **Well-formed** document:
 - > verifies XML lexical conventions
 - > contains **properly nested elements** with a single root element
 - > can contain **empty** elements, **mixed** text and elements

```
<book year="1967" >
  <title>The politics of experience</title>
  <author>R.D. Laing</author>
  <ref isbn="1341-1444-555"/>
  <section>
    The great and true Amphibian, whose nature is disposed to....
    <title>Persons and experience</title> Even facts become...
  </section> ...
</book>
```

XML 1.0: Valid documents

- ◆ A **Valid** XML document verifies a Document Type Definition (**DTD**):
 - > grammar for the document
 - > constraints on the structure of **elements**, **attributes**, **entities**, **notations...**
 - > a DTD is **optional**

```
<?XML version="1.0"?>
<DOCTYPE book [
  <!ELEMENT book      (title, author*, publisher?, section+)>
  <!ATTLIST book      year CDATA #IMPLIED>
  <!ELEMENT title      (#PCDATA)>
  <!ELEMENT author     (#PCDATA)>
  <!ELEMENT section    (#PCDATA | title | section)*>
]>
...
```

Running example

```
<?XML version="1.0"?>
```

```
<bib>
```

```
.....  
<book ISBN="10" year="1967" >
```

```
  <title>The politics of experience</title>
```

```
  <author><firstname>R.D.</firstname>
```

```
    <lastname>Laing</lastname>
```

```
  </author>
```

```
  <publisher>Pantheon Books</publisher>
```

```
  <section id="1">
```

```
    <title>Persons and experience</title>
```

```
    The great and true Amphibian,
```

```
      <!-- This is a comment about Amphibians -->
```

```
    whose nature is disposed to.....
```

```
    <section id="1.1">
```

```
      Exploitation must not be seen ...
```

```
    </section>
```

```
  </section>
```

```
</book>
```

```
.....  
</bib>
```

In search of a query language...

- ◆ What do we call a **query language**?

The language used to describe, in a **declarative** fashion, the mapping between an **input** instance of the **data model** to an **output** instance of the **data model**.

What **data model** for XML?

XML vs. graph-based models

- ◆ XML document content could be modeled as a graph
 - entities (e.g. elements, attributes) organized in an hierarchical structure
- ◆ ...but XML is more complicated than that
 - several distinct types of nodes
 - » text, elements, attributes, comments, processing instructions, etc.
 - some parts are **ordered** (e.g. children of an element) and some other parts **not ordered** (e.g. attributes)
 - in the absence of a DTD or schema, the document is a **tree**; otherwise it could be a **graph**

Some relevant query languages

- ◆ **Research** query languages for **XML**
 - e.g. **XML-QL**, **LoREL**, **XML-GL**, **Quilt**, **Xduce**
- ◆ **Industry** query languages for XML
 - e.g. **XQL**, **OQL** extensions to query **SGML** documents
- ◆ **Standard** processing languages for **XML** (W3C standards)
 - e.g. **Xpath**, **XSLT**

The big picture

Data model

Real XML	Xpath(5)				XSLT (7) Quilt (6)
Idealized XML data model			XML-QL (2)	LoREL (3)	YATL (4)
Simple graphs		UnQL (1)			
	Navigation & selection	SPJ+ RegExp	SPJ + RegExpr + grouping.	OQL+ RegExpr	OQL+ conditional + full recursion

Expressive power

XML-QL (Deutsch et al)

- ◆ Data model:
 - node and edge labeled graph (elements & attributes)
 - a (totally) **ordered** or a (totally) **unordered** graph
- ◆ Language description:
 - WHERE clause to **bind** variables and to **test** predicates
 - CONSTRUCT clause to **create** new XML structures
- ◆ Features:
 - **XML patterns** for both the WHERE clause and the CONSTRUCT clause
 - **regular expressions** for navigation
 - **joins** on multiple input sources
 - **Skolem functions** to create nested structures

XML patterns


- ◆ Query1: "Retrieve the titles of the books written by Laing before 1967"

WHERE

```
<bib> <book year= $y ISBN= $isbn>
    <title> $t </title>
    <author> <lastname>Laing</lastname> </author>
</book>
</bib> in "bib.xml",
$y<1967
```

CONSTRUCT

```
<resultBook ISBN= $isbn >
    <resultTitle> $t </resultTitle>
</resultBook>
```



\$y	\$isbn	\$t
-	-	-
-	-	-

Joins in XML-QL

- ◆ Query2: "Retrieve all the reviews about books written by Laing".

WHERE

```
<bib><book ISBN = $i>
```

```
  <author>
```

```
    <lastName>Laing</lastName>
```

```
  </author>
```

```
</book></bib> in "www-caravel.inria.fr:bib.xml",
```

```
<reviews>
```

```
  <review ISBN = $i> </review> ELEMENT_AS $e
```

```
</reviews> in "www.crossgain.com:reviews.xml"
```

CONSTRUCT

```
$e
```

Meta-data queries

- ◆ Query3: "Which kind of elements can be found in the content of the element corresponding to the book with isbn=10 ?"

WHERE

<bib>

<book ISBN="10"> <\$tagName> </> </book>

</bib> in "bib.xml",

CONSTRUCT

<result>\$tagName <result>

Fusion using Skolem functions

- ◆ Query4: "Retrieve the titles of the all the books, grouped first by year and then by publisher".

WHERE

```
<bib><book year=$y>  
  <title> $t </title>  
  <publisher>$p/publisher>  
</book></bib>
```

\$y	\$p	\$t

CONSTRUCT

```
<bookPerYear id=F1($y) >  
  <bookPerYear&Publisher id=F2($y,$p) >  
    <bookTitle> $t </bookTitle>  
  </bookPerYear&Publisher >  
</bookPerYear>
```

Automatic fusion of all the bookPerYear elements with the same id attribute

Lorel (Abiteboul et al)

- ◆ Semi-structured data (OEM), reconverted to XML
- ◆ Lorel is an extension of OQL for OEM:
 - **functional** language
 - applies **type coercion** (relaxes the strong typing constraint of OQL)
 - uses path expressions with **full regular expressions**
 - adds an **XML element creation** operator
 - adds **Skolem functions** for grouping
- ◆ Query1: "Retrieve the books written by Laing before 1967."
SELECT xml(result: \$b)
FROM \$b in bib.book
WHERE \$b.author.lastname?="Laing" and \$b.@year<1967
- ◆ Different syntax, but equivalent to XML-QL in expressive power.

YATL

- ◆ **Initial goal:** data conversion and integration
- ◆ **Data model:** ordered trees, references, node-labeled
- ◆ **Language description:**
 - like OQL & Lorel: **functional** language
 - like others: database iterator (make...match...where)
 - like others: **Skolem functions** to manipulate references
 - pattern matching with **horizontal regular expressions**
 - local functions with full **recursive functions** for conversions
- ◆ **Implementation:** v1 INRIA in 1998 & v2 Bell Labs in 2000

Tree patterns in Yatl

- ◆ Query1: "Retrieve the titles of the books published in 1967 by 'Pantheon Books'."

```
MAKE result [ $t ]
```

```
MATCH « bib.xml » WITH book[ @year[$y],  
                                title[$t],  
                                publisher[$p] ]
```

```
WHERE $p = "Pantheon Books" and $y=1967
```

Research query languages for graph-based data and XML

+ New features:

- » node fusion via Skolem functions
- » type coercion
- » vertical regular expression
- » horizontal regular expression
- » meta-data queries
- » recursive functions

- Data model: "idealization" of a real XML document

- » do not model some XML features such comments, processing instructions, namespaces, etc
- » schema agnostic

◆ Not complete for "real XML"

Overview

Data model

Real XML	Xpath(5)				XSLT (7) Quilt (6)	
Idealized XML data model			XML-QL (2)	LoREL (3)	YATL (4)	
Simple graphs		UnQL (1)				
	Navigation & selection	SPJ+ RegExp	SPJ + RegExpr + grouping.	OQL+ RegExpr	OQL+ conditional + full recursion	Expressive power

XPath(1)

- ◆ Syntax for XML document navigation and node selection
- ◆ Papers:
 - "XML Path Language (XPath)", W3C recommendation
- ◆ Building block for other W3C standards:
 - XSL Transformations (XSLT) : transform XML->XML
 - XML Link (XLink): constructs that support describing the links between addressed information
 - XML Pointer (XPointer): constructs that support addressing into the internal structural of XML

XPath(2)

- ◆ A **query** is an expression (Location Path)
 - describes a **single navigation path** in an XML document
- ◆ A query simply **selects** a list of nodes from the input document
- ◆ A **Location Path** consists of:
 - a context node
 - a series of Location Steps separated by /
- ◆ A verbose **Location Step** consists of:
 - an **axis**, a **node test**, a list of **predicates**

```
document("bib.xml") / child::book [./attribute::ISBN=10] /  
descendant::section / [position()=1]
```

XPath in action (3)

- ◆ Location step:
 - an **axis**, a **node test**, a list of **predicates**
- ◆ 13 Axes:
 - ancestor, ancestor-or-self, attribute, **child**, descendent, descendent-or-self, following, following-sibling, namespace, parent, preceding, preceding-sibling, self
- ◆ Node Test:
 - **name test** (e.g. **section**, *****, **myNs:myTag**)
 - **type test** (e.g. **text()**, **comment()**, **node()**)

```
document("bib.xml") / child::bib/ child::* [./attribute::ISBN=10] /  
    descendant::section [position()=1] / child::comment()
```

XPath abbreviated syntax

book	CN/child::book
book/@ISBN	CN/child::book/attribute::ISBN
section[1]	CN/child::section[position()=1]
.	CN
..	CN/parent::*
../text()	CN/parent::* /child::text()
//section	ROOT/descendant-or-self::section
/section	ROOT/child::section
//	ROOT/descendant-or-self::*
//section[last()]	ROOT/descendant-or-self::section[position()=last()]
//section [5] [title="introduction"]	
//section [title="introduction"] [5]	

Quilt (Chamberlin et al)


- ◆ Borrows features from OQL, XML-QL, LoreL, XQL, ML
- ◆ Design goals:
 - learn from previous experience
 - keep it simple
 - make sure it is useful
 - make sure it is semantically clean :)
- ◆ Limitations and problems:
 - no fusion operation
 - no support for full regular expressions
 - semantic problems with Xpath
 - many other open issues....

Quilt implementations

- ◆ Implementations:
 - Agora (INRIA)
 - Univ. Washington
 - Univ. Pennsylvania
 - Niagara project (Univ. Wisconsin)

XSLT(1)

- ◆ Paper:
 - "XSL Transformations (XSLT)", W3C recommendation
- ◆ XML to XML rule based transformation language
- ◆ An XSLT program is an XML document itself



XSLT(2)

- ◆ An **XSLT program** is a valid XML document containing:
 - elements in the `<xsl:>` namespace (i.e. the **XSLT statements**)
 - elements in other namespaces(i.e the **user-defined data**)
- ◆ The result of the evaluation of an **XSLT program** on an input **XML document** := the XSLT document where each `<xsl:>` element has been replaced with the result of its "evaluation"
- ◆ Uses **Xpath** as a sublanguage
- ◆ Used mostly as a stylesheet language

XML Query Language

Working Group at the W3C

- ◆ Technical documents:
 - XML Query Requirements
 - XML Query Data Model
- ◆ Several use cases:
 - SQL-like queries, queries that use references, queries that exploit the hierarchy and the sequence, SGML-like queries, queries that need text operations and namespace handling, metadata querying, recursive queries, etc.
- ◆ Current work: XML algebra
- ◆ Promised release date: November 2000

XML query language requirements

1. **Select** portions of a document
 - all
2. **Copy** portions of a document while preserving the **hierarchy** and the **order** of the nodes
 - YaTL, Quilt, XSLT
3. **Combine (join)** two documents
 - all except Xpath and XSLT (only intra-document joins)
4. **Construct** new documents
 - all except Xpath
5. **Navigate irregular** or **unknown** documents
 - full (vertical) regular expressions: Lorel, XML-QL
 - simple (vertical) navigation: Xpath, XSLT, Quilt
 - horizontal regular expressions: YaTL

XML query language requirements (2)

6. Formulate **predicates** on the **tag names** and **attribute names**
 - all
7. Query and preserve the nodes **global topological order**
 - Quilt
8. Apply **aggregation** and **sorting** functions
 - all except Xpath, XML-QL
9. Apply **existential** and **universal quantifiers**
 - Lorel, Quilt, XSLT (not explicitly!)
10. Apply **full-text predicates** and **text operations**
 - none satisfactorily

Open questions

◆ General questions:

- Which **programming style** ? (most powerful and most natural)
- Which type of **syntax** ? (beauty contest...)

◆ Unclear technical issues:

- Should the query semantics depend on **the presence of the schema**?
- **Automatic type coercion**?
- **Type inference and type checking**?
- **Recursive functions**?
- **Full regular expressions**?
- **Fusion operator**?
- **Copy semantics or identity-based semantics**?
- Copy by **reachability**?
- **Dereferencing operator semantics**?
- **Extensibility: protocol and exception handling** ?

Your opinion IS IMPORTANT!



Roadmap

- ◆ **Search engines:** brief overview
- ◆ **Web languages**
- ◆ **Webbases**
 - querying the Web as a database
 - combine/relate information from multiple Web sources

The Web is a great source of information

But...

- ◆ There are too many sites
- ◆ Information is spread and disorganized
- ◆ Sites are becoming increasingly complex to navigate (frames, multiple forms, etc)
- ◆ It is hard to relate information from multiple sites




Querying the Web

- ◆ Find documents that contain keyword "XML"
- ◆ Starting from <http://w3c.org>, and within this domain, find a document with keyword "XML"
- ◆ Find all authors that published papers with "XML" in the title, that have also published a book which costs more than US\$50

	Data model	Queries
Search engines	URL, keywords	keywords
Web languages	URL, doc title/length, keywords	Keywords + regular exp over URLs
Webbases	Site schemas descriptions	Relational algebra

The Web **is not** a Database




Webbases: Organizing the Web

- ◆ An easy-to-use place to find and organize the various types of unconnected data scattered across the Web, e.g.,
autoweb.com,
carpoint.com,
pricescan.com,
mynetscape.com



Webbase



Complex Web queries

Make a list of used BMWs 3-series advertised in the tri-state area such that each car is 1996 or later model, whose price is less than its Blue Book value

Make a list with prices of Toshiba laptops with at least 64MB of RAM, and processor with at least 600Mhz, and whose price is less than US\$2000

Webbases: Applications

- ◆ Portals
 - ◆ Comparison shopping
 - ◆ E-commerce
 - ◆ Virtual enterprises
- ...and many more!

A look at PriceScan.com



[Computer](#) > [Hardware](#) > [Notebook Systems](#) > PC Compatible

Manufacturer:

CPU Type:

CPU Speed:

RAM:

Storage Capacity:

Modem:

Display Type:

Display Size:

Maximum Price:

Laptops at PriceScan.com

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION	BEST PRICE
Toshiba Satellite 2250XCDS C/600/64/6.0GB/13.0/CD/98	\$1,161.00
Toshiba Satellite 1695CDT C/600/64/6.0GB/12.1/CD/98	\$1,399.00
Toshiba Satellite Pro PS432U-OL1560 P3/600/12.1TFT/98	\$1,681.00
Toshiba Satellite 2755DVD P3/600/64/6.0GB/12.1/DVD/98	\$1,699.00
Toshiba Satellite Pro PS432U-OL1560 P3/600/12.1TFT/2K	\$1,775.00
Toshiba Satellite 2775XDVD P3/650/64/12.0GB/14.1/DVD/98	\$1,970.00

Models that match search

Toshiba Satellite 2250XCDS C/600/64/6.0GB/13.0/CD/98
Mfg Part No: PS225U-M91J08
Celeron, 600MHz, 64MB RAM, 6.0GB HD, CD-ROM Drive, Modem, 13.0 Active Matrix Display

PRICES **PRODUCT REVIEWS**

VENDOR	SOURCE	PRICE
Dartek	Direct from Vendor 09/18/00	\$1,160.58
Firstsource DIRECT LINK or call 800-858-9866	Direct from Vendor 09/18/00	\$1,187.43
PC Zone	Direct from Vendor 09/18/00	\$1,189.98
COMPUTERS 4sure DIRECT LINK or call 800-585-4080	Direct from Vendor 09/18/00	\$1,199.00
PC Mall	Direct from Vendor 09/18/00	\$1,199.00

Price list for a model

Webbases

Database systems designed for casual Web users to query integrated Web content.

- ◆ Provide easy/convenient access to information in the Web
- ◆ Allow complex queries over a multitude of Web sources


Challenges in WebBase Design

- ◆ Requirements from a user's perspective:
 - **Logical independence:** naive users should be able to easily formulate *ad-hoc queries*
 - **Site independence:** users should not be required to locate related Web sites and resolve vocabulary and presentation differences in the sites
 - **Navigation independence:** navigational details of querying and retrieving dynamic content from a Web site must be completely transparent to the user
- ◆ From a designers perspective:
 - Sites are autonomous

Webbases vs Mediators

- ◆ Much **larger scale**, and higher degree of **autonomy**
- ◆ Web is **dynamic** - Web sites change constantly, and new sites are added often
- ◆ Little metadata about characteristics of sources
- ◆ Users come from a wide variety of backgrounds - need **flexible query interfaces**
- ◆ Standard protocol and languages

WebBase vs Databases




Building a WebBase

- ◆ Find information sources
- ◆ Wrap information sources
 - extract "schema"
 - access + extraction
 - Web models
- ◆ Integrate sources
 - schema + semantic integration
- ◆ Query processing

Wrapping information sources

- ◆ Access
- ◆ Extract information
- ◆ Describe information



How do you build wrappers?

Accessing information

- ◆ Web uses **standard protocol** (HTTP)
- ◆ **Hidden Web**: 80% of all data on the Web is published through forms-based interfaces (e.g., product catalogs and searchable classified ads)

Example: Accessing Travelocity

Steps to retrieve [airfare info](#):

- ◆ Go to travelocity.com
- ◆ Choose Find/Book a Flight
- ◆ Enter login information
- ◆ Choose the 9 Best Itineraries
- ◆ Specify details of Itineraries
- ◆ View the results


Automating Web Navigation

- ◆ Write programs: Java, WebL (H. Marais, WWW7), etc.
 - need programming expertise, and maintenance
 - not very scalable
- ◆ WebVCR (Freire et al, WWW9)
- ◆ Mapping by example (Davulcu et al, SIGMOD'99)
 - semi-automatic discovery of "site maps" - topology + contents and capabilities of Web sites, **no programming required**
 - access scripts are automatically generated

The WebVCR


- ◆ Transparently track user actions:
 - ease-of-use: targeted to casual users
 - mimic browsing experience
- ◆ Record navigation sequences in *smart bookmarks*
 - links followed
 - forms filled out, information entered
 - buttons clicked
- ◆ Playback
 - using recorded information, follow links, fill forms, ... to get to desired content
 - *correctly* replaying a smart bookmark is challenging as pages may change between record and replay time

Recording: Step-by-Step




Start applet and press "Record"

Press "Stop", save to a file



A Navigation Map

A navigation map is a labeled directed graph, where nodes represent Web pages and edges represent navigation (browsing) actions



Extracting information

◆ Web is (mostly) **unstructured** data: HTML

2. [The Advanced Html Companion](#)

by Keith Schengili-Roberts, Kim Silk-Copeland. Paperback (August 1998)

Our Price: \$35.96

You Save: \$8.99 (20%)

Usually ships in 24 hours

Average Customer Review: ★★★★★

3. [Applied XML Solutions \(Sams Professional Publishing\)](#)

by Benoit Marchal. Paperback (August 29, 2000)

Our Price: \$35.99

You Save: \$9.00 (20%)

Usually ships in 24 hours

Average Customer Review: ★★★★★

4. [Applied XML: A Toolkit for Programmers](#)

by Alex Ceponkus, Faraz Hoodbhoy. Paperback (July 1, 1999)

Our Price: \$39.99

You Save: \$10.00 (20%)

Usually ships in 24 hours

Average Customer Review: ★★★★★

Title: The Advanced Html Companion
Authors: Keith Schengili-Roberts, Kim Silk-Copeland
Price: 35.96

Extracting structure from Web pages

- ◆ Write specialized parsers: hard to create and maintain - not scalable
- ◆ Parsing by example:
 - NoDoSe (B. Adelberg - SIGMOD'98) - interactive tool for semi-automatically determining the structure of text documents
 - Ariadne (C. Knoblock et al - AAAI'97): demonstration oriented user interface

Extracting structure from Web pages (cont.)

- ◆ W4F (Sahuguet & Azavant -VLDB'99)
 - wizard-based wrapper generation toolkit for HTML documents
 - HEL is a language to express extraction rules (DOM + flow + regular expressions)
 - Users must write HEL rules
- ◆ XML
 - self-describing data - simplifies extraction
 - extraction can be expressed as a query

XML: Parsing is easier...

2. [The Advanced Html Companion](#)

by Keith Schengili-Roberts, Kim Silk-Copeland. Paperback (August 1998)

Our Price: \$35.96

You Save: \$8.99 (20%)

Usually ships in 24 hours

Average Customer Review: ★★★★★

3. [Applied XML Solutions \(Sams Professional Publishing\)](#)

by Benoit Marchal. Paperback (August 29, 2000)

Our Price: \$35.99

You Save: \$9.00 (20%)

Usually ships in 24 hours

Average Customer Review: ★★★★★

4. [Applied XML: A Toolkit for Programmers](#)

by Thomas Fuchs, Ericnie P. Riechert, Robert L. Taylor (October 1999)

Our

You

<Book>

<Title>The Advanced Html Companion</Title>

<Author> Keith Schengili-Roberts </Author>

<Author> Kim Silk-Copeland</Author>

<Price> 35.96</Price>

</Book>

Issues

- ◆ Automation and simplicity are key
- ◆ What if the documents change?
 - Need to make wrappers robust
 - W4F relies on wrapper creator
 - WebVCR uses heuristics for robust access
 - Resilient extraction expressions (Davulcu et al - PODS 2000)
 - Robust locations (Phelps and Wilenski - WWW9)
- ◆ Automatic generation of extraction expressions

Modeling the Web

- ◆ How to describe Web information sources?
- ◆ Information:
 - contents (for example, movies)
 - attributes found in the source (genre, cast)
 - constraints on contents (only Brazilian movies)
 - completeness and reliability
- ◆ Access
 - query processing capabilities
 - how to navigate

Different Models

- ◆ Graph-based data models to represent semistructured data (e.g., Lorel)
- ◆ Information Manifold (Levy et al):
 - source description language: users may describe complex constraints on contents, source capabilities
 - e.g., Source 1: Japanese cars beginning 1990,
v(model,year,price,owner) :-
 Car(model,year,price,owner),
 JapaneseCar(model), year>= 1990

Different Models (cont.)

- ◆ ADM - Araneus data model (Mecca et al):
 - ODMG-like model for describing Web hypertexts
 - defines a page-based "scheme" : Web is a collection of unstructured pages connected by links
 - a page is a URL-identified nested object, whose attributes that correspond to the relevant pieces of information in the page
 - similar pages are grouped into *page-schemes*

Different Models (cont.)

- ◆ Navigation maps (Davulcu et al)
 - models the structure of a Web site and how to retrieve the information in the site
 - **created by example**: capture designer's actions while he/she browses, and extracts *useful* information from visited Web pages
 - meta-data: obligatory vs optional attributes, domains of certain attributes
 - navigation expressions **automatically generated**
 - hidden (dynamic) Web

Navigation Maps and Web Objects

Web structures in a navigation map can be represented as *F-logic objects*:

```
web_page[  
  address → url;  
  title → string;  
  contents → string;  
  actions → →{action}]
```

Declaration of Web page class
URL of page
Title of the page
HTML contents of the page
Actions in a page

```
action[  
  object →{link,form};  
  link  
  source → url;  
  targets → → web_page;  
  doit@attrValPair →web_page]
```

Declaration of action class
Action can apply to a form or link
Page where the action belongs
Pages action may lead to
Method to execute the action


Web Objects (cont.)

link[name → string; address → url]; <i>url</i>	<i>Declaration of link class</i> <i>Name of link</i> <i>URL of link</i>
form[cgi → url; method → meth; mandatory → → attribute; optional → → attribute; state → attrValPair]	<i>Declaration of fomr class</i> <i>Script associated with form</i> <i>CGI invocation method</i> <i>Mandatory attributes</i> <i>Optional attributes</i> <i>Set of attribute-value pairs</i>

An action object

```
submit_form:action[object->form1[
  cgi-> "/cgi-bin/nclassyNDD.x/";
  method -> "post";
  mandatory-> -> {make};
  optional -> -> {}];
  source -> www.newsday.com;
  targets -> ->{CarFeatures, CarData};
  doit@attrValPair -> web_page]
```

A used-car classified ads site



Modeling Navigation Processes

◆ Navigation processes

- algebraic expressions
- map dynamic Web data onto virtual relations

```
newsday_used_cars(Make, Model, Price, Contact) ←  
newsdayPg.actions:follow_link[object → link(auto); doit@() →  
UsedCarPg] ⊗  
UsedCarPg.actions:submit_form[object → form(f1); doit@(Make)  
→CarPg1] ⊗  
(CarPg1:data_page [extract → → tuple(Contact,Price) ] ∨  
(CarPg1.actions:submit_form[object → form(f2);  
doit@(Model) → CarPg2] ⊗ CarPg2: data_page[extract → →  
tuple(Contact,Price)]))
```

Mapping Web Content onto Virtual Relations


- ◆ Web content is mapped onto virtual relations through **access handles**
- ◆ Each access handle H for a relation R contains a binding pattern and a navigation process

$H = \{ \text{mandatory-attrs}, \text{selection-attrs}, R, \text{navigation-expression} \}$

e.g.,

$H = \{ \{ \text{make} \}, \{ \text{model}, \text{year} \}, R, \text{newspaper_used_cars} \}$

After wrapping: integrate!



Integrate sources

- ◆ Similar to mediators
- ◆ How to integrate:
 - warehousing vs virtual
 - local vs global as view
 - relational vs XML
 - integration languages (YATL, MSL, Relational Algebra, Datalog)
 - site capabilities and binding propagation
- ◆ Semantic integration

Query Reformulation

- ◆ User does not pose queries directly in the schema in which data is "stored"
- ◆ A user queries must be reformulated into a query over the schemas of the data sources

Local as View

- ◆ Define a global schema
- ◆ Users pose queries over the global schema
- ◆ For every information source S , write a query over the global schema that describe the tuples in S
- ◆ Simple to add and delete sources
- ◆ Query reformulation is complex
- ◆ Strategy used by the Information Manifold

Global as View

- ◆ Build logical relations from virtual physical relations exported by the wrappers
- ◆ Users pose queries over logical relations
- ◆ Query reformulation is simple: just unfold!
- ◆ Addition and deletion of sources may require modifications on definitions of logical relations
- ◆ Strategy used by TSIMMIS and Web Integrator

Local vs Global as View

- ◆ Local as view

source1: Luxury cars

luxury(make,model,year,price):-
car(make,model,year,price),
price > 20000

source2: Old car reviews

reviews(product,year,review) :-
review(product,year,review),
car(product), year < 1989

- ◆ Global as view

logical relation 1: Luxury cars

luxury(make,model,year,price):-
source1(make,model,year,price) U
source2(make,model,year,price)

logical relation 2: Old car
reviews

reviews(product,year,review) :-
source1(car,year),
year < 1989,
source2(car,year,review)

Data model

- ◆ Many Web information integration systems are based on the relational model (e.g., Information Manifold, Web Integrator)
- ◆ Recently, XML integration systems have been proposed (e.g., YAT)
 - Flexible data model
 - Fast wrapping tools
 - Fast integration based on declarative XML languages

Site capabilities

- ◆ Which queries are supported by the Web sites
- ◆ For example, a classified ads site *requires* users to specify *make, model and year* of cars in order to output the car listings
- ◆ Logical relations must adhere to the binding requirements of the virtual relations

Mapping Virtual Relations onto Logical Schema

Virtual relations:

newsday (*Make, Model, Year, Price, Contact*)

nytimes (*Make, Model, Year, Price, Contact*)

◆ If logical relation *classifieds* is mapped by:

$classifieds \equiv \pi_{Make, Model, Year, Price, Cont, Feat} (newsday)$


$\cup \pi_{Make, Model, Year, Price, Contact, Feat} (nytimes)$

◆ Then *Make, Model and Year* are the pre-computed binding pattern for *classifieds*

Semantic Integration

- ◆ Mapping of the semantics of terms from different information sources
- ◆ Different terms represented using the same syntactic structure (e.g., car - used or new)
- ◆ Different syntactic structures to represent the same term (e.g., Volkswagen vs VW)
- ◆ Very hard to automate
 - approximate matchings (WHIRL - Cohen)
- ◆ Use ontologies

After integrating: query!




Mapping Logical onto External Schema

- ◆ Naive users should be able to easily formulate *ad-hoc queries*
- ◆ Casual users query the WebBase through the external schema
- ◆ We propose **structured universal relation** as a query model
 - provides **logical independence** by allowing users to formulate complex queries *interactively* without specifying joins.
- ◆ To guide the user, **structured universal relation**:
 - Maps related logical relations onto *domain concepts*, and
 - structures related domain concepts into a *concept hierarchy*
- ◆ **Semantic compatibility rules** are provided for reinforcing *correct* joins, and preventing *incorrect* joins

Structured UR: Example

Concept hierarchy for used cars:



Compatibility constraints define meaningful and meaningless joins:

Classifieds $\rightarrow \neg$ Lease

Can't lease a "used" car

Lease \rightarrow FullCoverage

Leased cars must be fully insured

UsedCar $\rightarrow \neg$ TradeIn Value

Tradeins are not applicable to used cars

Structured UR: Example query

Make a list of used BMWs advertised in New York City area sites such that each car's monthly payments are less than 1,000 dollars, and its selling price is less than its Blue Book price

UsedCarUR(bmw, Model, Year, Price, BBPrice, Rate, InsCost),
Price < BBPrice, (Price * Rate + InsCost)/12 < 1000

Out of 16 candidate maximal objects the following satisfy constraints:

- Dealers, Lease, Full, RetailVal
- ⊃ Dealers, Loan, Full, RetailVal
- ⊃ Dealers, Loan, Liability, RetailVal
- ⊃ Classifieds, Loan, Liability, RetailVal
- ⊃ Classifieds, Loan, Full, RetailVal

Web Integration Systems

- ◆ TSIMMIS and Information Manifold
 - integrate data from heterogeneous sources, including the Web
- ◆ Araneus and Web Integrator
 - WebBases: basis of Web-based information
- ◆ YAT
 - XML integration system

Information Manifold

- ◆ Data model: relations
- ◆ Integration language: conjunctive formulas
- ◆ Wrappers
 - implementors write source descriptions - which relations are found in the data sources
- ◆ Focus:
 - site independent queries
 - model fine-grained differences between sources
 - query planning: which information sources and their combinations

TSIMMIS

- ◆ Data model: OEM (object exchange model) - describe contents
- ◆ Integration language: MSL - a rule-based language
- ◆ Wrappers
 - implementors write a set of templates/rules that describe queries accepted by the wrapper and objects it returns

Araneus

- ◆ Data model: relational
- ◆ Web model: ADM - graph whose nodes correspond to pages (pages are complex objects)
- ◆ Language: ULIXES - SQL-like language over ADM objects
 - allows end users and application builders to query Web sources
- ◆ Wrappers
 - implementors **manually** create ADM for each site, and write a set of ULIXES queries

Web Integrator

- ◆ Web model: navigation map - action-oriented graph whose nodes correspond to pages, and edges to actions (e.g., fill form, follow link)
- ◆ Language: Transaction-logic
- ◆ Wrappers
 - navigation maps are created semi-automatically
 - queries are automatically generated from navigation maps
 - WebBase designer need not write programs

YAT

- ◆ Data model: XML
- ◆ Language: YATL - a rule-based integration/conversion language
- ◆ Wrappers
 - designers write YATL rules
- ◆ XML algebra and efficient query processing techniques

Query Processing

- ◆ Optimization is hard
 - no information about data cardinality, distribution, indexes
 - because of limited access patterns, optimizer cannot fall back on full scan [Florescu et al - SIGMOD'99]
- ◆ Overlap and redundancy among sources
 - hard to quantify - need detailed description of contents of each source
- ◆ Data availability is unpredictable
- ◆ Data arrival rates may vary dramatically
 - adaptive query execution [Urhan et al - SIGMOD'98, Ives et al - SIGMOD'99]
 - query feedback to predict response times [Gruser et al, VLDB Journal, 2000]

Summary and Conclusions

- ◆ Presented key concepts and technologies for finding, querying and integrating information on the Web
- ◆ Keyword search and directory browsing are still essential services, but tools are needed for ad-hoc search and querying
- ◆ Lots of work have been put into helping people find the information they want - **but a lot more is needed**
- ◆ We need "components" to build search engines, and web information integration systems

XML is not a panacea...

- ◆ It does help, but a lot needs to be done...
- ◆ XML presents many **challenges** for the database community
- ◆ Large quantities of a **new type of data**
 - textual, irregular, self-organizing, distributed, replicated, etc.
- ◆ Many orders of magnitude larger:
 - the volume of XML data
 - the number of XML data repositories
- ◆ The **need** for such a technology is **here**
- ◆ The **solutions** are **not here** !
- ◆ Myriad of **standards** and **products** issued from industry

Future directions (1)

- ◆ XML data management
 - Update languages
 - Storing XML data in object-relational DBMSs, and native storage
 - Indexing
- ◆ XML views of object-relational databases
- ◆ Query processing algorithms for XML data
- ◆ Mixing structured search with full-text search
- ◆ XML benchmarks

Future directions (2)

- ◆ Continuous queries for notification services
- ◆ Querying streams of data (e.g., call information)
- ◆ Accessing the Web from wireless Internet devices
 - different requirements for query processing
 - flexible re-structuring of sites
- ◆ How to describe Web content/services
 - service composition
- ◆ Online semantic integration
- ◆ Alternative query interfaces for WebBases

Future directions (cont.)

◆ Search engines

- How to scale more
 - » incremental crawling - how much does the Web change
- Scaling up the operation may be feasible, but it useful?
- RDF/Ontologies/XML
- Limited to static Web - can't search through dynamically generated pages